arXiv:1703.01993v1 [math.NT] 6 Mar 2017

CONSTRUCTING MINIMAL PERIODS OF QUADRATIC IRRATIONALITIES IN ZAGIER'S REDUCTION THEORY

BARRY R. SMITH

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Lebanon Valley College
101 N. College Avenue, Annville, PA 17003

Abstract. Dirichlet's version of Gauss's reduction theory for indefinite binary quadratic forms includes a map from Gauss-reduced forms to strings of natural numbers. It attaches to a form the minimal period of the continued fraction of a quadratic irrationality associated with the form. When Zagier developed his own reduction theory, parallel to Dirichlet's, he omitted an analogue of this map. We define two new maps on Zagier-reduced forms that together serve as this analogue. We also define a map from the set of Gauss-reduced forms into the set of Zagier-reduced forms that gives a near-embedding of the structure of Gauss's reduction theory into that of Zagier's. From this perspective, Zagier-reduction becomes a refinement of Gauss-reduction, and all of the important properties of Dirichlet's map readily follow from the corresponding properties of the new maps.

1. Introduction

Dirichlet's exposition [4] of Gauss' reduction theory for indefinite binary quadratic forms is still the essence of the standard treatment. The core idea is to prove the main theorems by translating reduction into the regular continued fraction expansion of a quadratic irrationality. In 1981, Zagier published an alternative reduction theory [15]; his exposition is similar to Dirichlet's, replacing regular continued fractions with negative continued fractions, but it omits an important piece. This article fills in the gap.

Let us review some terminology. A binary quadratic form is a polynomial $Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$, and we assume throughout that the coefficients are rational integers. We will typically represent a form with the shorter notation (A, B, C). Its discriminant

E-mail address: barsmith@lvc.edu.

Key words and phrases. binary quadratic form; reduction theory; continued fraction.

I wish to thank Keith Matthews for publishing tools for computing with binary quadratic forms at http://numbertheory.org. They were an invaluable resource during this project.

is $\Delta = B^2 - 4AC$, and we will only consider *indefinite* forms – those with positive, nonsquare discriminant. A matrix $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on a form $f(x,y)$ as

$$f(x,y) \mapsto f(\alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta y)$$

Through this right-action, the set of binary quadratic forms is partitioned into equivalence classes. All forms in a class have the same discriminant.

Definition 1.1. An indefinite form (A,B,C) is **G-reduced** if

$$AC < 0, \qquad B > |A + C|.$$

It is **Z-reduced** if

$$A, B, C > 0, \qquad B > A + C.$$

These are the reduced forms of Gauss and Zagier. The definition of G-reduced forms is not the most common one, but its equivalence with Gauss's definition goes back at least to Frobenius [6].

We now define a map that is already in Dirichlet ([4], or see Section 83 of *Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie*). But first, we recall that every rational number $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} > 1$ can be expanded in two ways as a finite regular continued fraction

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = q_1 + \cfrac{1}{q_2 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots\ + \cfrac{1}{q_l}}}.$$

with positive integer *partial quotients* $q_1, \ldots, q_l$. The numbers of quotients appearing in the two expansions have opposite parities: if $q_l > 2$, then the second expansion has partial quotients $(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_l - 1, 1)$. We recall also that if Δ is a positive, nonsquare integer, then the Pellian equation $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$ always has solutions in positive integers (t,u). The *fundamental solution* is that with minimal u.

Dirichlet's Map. Let G be the set of of all Gauss-reduced forms (A,B,C) with $A > 0$ and $C < 0$, and let S_1 be the set of nonempty **natural strings** (i.e., finite sequences of natural numbers). Define

$$\gamma\colon G \to S_1$$

as follows. Suppose $f = (A,B,C) \in G$ has discriminant Δ. Let (t,u) be fundamental solution of the Pellian equation $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$. Set $z = \frac{t+Bu}{2}$ (an integer) and expand the rational number $\frac{z}{Au}$ in a finite continued fraction, choosing between the two possible expansions by requiring that the parity of the number of partial quotients be odd if $t^2 - \Delta u^2 = -4$ and even otherwise. Define $\gamma(f)$ to be the resulting sequence of partial quotients.

Example 1. Let $f = x^2 + 3xy - 2y^2 \in G$, which has discriminant 17. The equation $t^2 - 17u^2 = -4$ is solvable with fundamental solution $(t, u) = (8, 2)$. We compute $z = 7$ and expand $7/2$ in a continued fraction of odd length to obtain $\gamma(f) = (3, 1, 1)$.

The foremost property of γ is that if $(A, B, C) \in G$ has discriminant Δ and relatively prime coefficients, then the quadratic irrationality $\frac{B+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2A}$ has a purely periodic continued fraction and the natural string $\gamma(f)$ gives the minimal period. For instance, continuing the example above, we may compute

$$\frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2} = 3 + \cfrac{1}{1 + \cfrac{1}{1 + \cfrac{1}{3 + \cfrac{1}{1 + \cfrac{1}{1 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots}}}}}}$$

Dirichlet works with a slightly less general map than the one given above, but a proof of this property of γ as we have stated it is already in Weber's *Lehrbuch der Algebra*, Volume 1 (or see [7, proof of Theorem 2.2.9]). Theorem 6.7 gives a different proof.

We now introduce an analogue of γ defined on Zagier-reduced forms. Let:

- Z be the set of all Zagier-reduced forms,
- S_2 be the set of natural strings of length ≥ 2, and
- B_1 be the set of binary strings with at least one 1.

Actually, we define two maps:

$$\beta \colon Z \to S_2$$
$$\sigma \colon Z \to B_1$$

Definition 1.2. Suppose $f = (A, B, C) \in Z$ has discriminant Δ. Let (t, u) be the fundamental solution of the Pellian equation $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$. Set $z = \frac{t+Bu}{2}$ (an integer) and expand the rational number $\frac{z}{z-Au}$ into a continued fraction, choosing between the two possible expansions by requiring that the parity of the number of partial quotients be even if $t^2 - \Delta u^2 = -4$ and odd otherwise. Define $\beta(f)$ to be the resulting sequence of partial quotients. We call $\beta(f)$ the **bead sequence** of f.

The differences with Dirichlet's map are that the input form f is Z-reduced instead of G-reduced, the fraction expanded in a continued fraction has denominator $z - Au$ instead of Au, and the parity of the number of quotients is switched.

Above, we indicated that the image $\beta(Z)$ is contained in S_2, i.e., no bead sequence has a single element. Proposition 3.2 shows that in fact β surjects onto S_2.

Before defining σ, a notational remark is in order. We will refer to natural strings as "strings", but we mostly use the more common sequence notation $(q_1, \ldots, q_l)$. We

will also use binary strings and write them with the standard juxtaposition notation $q_1 \cdots q_l$.

We define now a "stars-and-bars" map $\mathrm{sb}\colon S_2 \to B_1$. Given $(q_1, \ldots q_l) \in S_2$, we arrange a sequence of $n = q_1 + \cdots + q_l$ stars in a row, then place $l-1$ bars in gaps between the stars so that the stars are divided into bunches of size q_1, …, q_l as we move left to right. Beginning with an empty string, we traverse the stars from left to right, examining the gaps between stars and appending 0 at each empty gap and 1 at each bar. The resulting binary string is $\mathrm{sb}((q_1, \ldots q_l))$.

Definition 1.3. If $f \in Z$, define $\sigma(f) = \mathrm{sb}(\beta(f))$.

Example 2. Let $f = x^2 + 5xy + 2y^2 \in Z$, which has discriminant 17. The equation $t^2 - 17u^2 = -4$ is solvable with fundamental solution $(t, u) = (8, 2)$. We compute $z = 9$ and expand $9/7$ into a continued fraction of even length to obtain the bead sequence $\beta(f) = (1, 3, 1, 1)$. The stars and bars are

$$\star \mid \star \quad \star \quad \star \mid \star \mid \star$$

and the resulting binary string is

$$\sigma(f) = 10011$$

Example 3. Let us scale the form in the previous example by 4, giving $4f = 4x^2 + 20xy + 8y^2$ of discriminant 272. Considering the equation $t^2 - 272u^2 = -4$ modulo 16 shows that it is not solvable. The fundamental solution of $t^2 - 272u^2 = 4$ is $(t, u) = (66, 4)$. We compute $z = 73$ and expand $73/57$ into a continued fraction of odd length to obtain $\beta(f) = (1, 3, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1)$, and then

$$\sigma(4f) = 1001110011$$

It is striking that the string $\sigma(4f)$ in Example 3 is obtained by concatenating the string $\sigma(f)$ in Example 2 with itself. Theorem 4.2 will show that scaling a Z-reduced form generally corresponds to self-concatenating the corresponding binary string.

Let $\tilde{G}$ be the set of all G-reduced forms. The map $\mu\colon \tilde{G} \to Z$ with

$$\mu((A, B, C)) = \begin{cases} (A, 2A + B, A + B + C), & \text{if } A > 0 \\ (A + B + C, B + 2C, C), & \text{if } C > 0 \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

sends forms to equivalent forms. There are infinitely many Z-reduced forms outside the image of μ, such as $(3, 7, 3)$. On the other hand, restricting μ to forms with $A > 0$ gives an injective map, as does restricting it to forms with $C > 0$, so the preimage of each form in Z has size ≤ 2. If $\mathfrak{c}$ is a class of indefinite forms, then $\tilde{G} \cap \mathfrak{c}$ is finite and Corollary 6.14 determines the difference $\left|\tilde{G} \cap \mathfrak{c}\right| - \left|\mu(\tilde{G} \cap \mathfrak{c})\right|$.

Let $\eta\colon S_1 \to S_2$ be the map that prepends '1' to a string in S_1. Theorem 6.3 shows that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xhookrightarrow{\mu} & Z \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\beta} \\ S_1 & \xhookrightarrow{\eta} & S_2 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} G & \xhookrightarrow{\mu} & Z \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sigma} \\ S_1 & \xhookrightarrow{\mathrm{sb}\circ\eta} & B_1 \end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

In these diagrams, μ, η, and sb are all injections. This allows quick proofs of properties of γ from corresponding properties of β and σ. Because the injections are not bijections, it is difficult to go the other way and deduce general properties of β and σ from the well-known corresponding properties of γ. For this reason, most of this article (Sections 2 to 5) is occupied with developing the properties of β and σ without reference to known properties of γ. Section 6 then proves that the above diagrams commute, which then allows deducing the corresponding properties of γ.

Theorem 6.5 shows how Gauss-reduction translates through the map μ. The take-away is that we may view a single Zagier-reduction as a "fraction of a Gauss-reduction". Combining this with the above commutative diagrams, Zagier's reduction theory becomes a refinement of Gauss's.

We will now describe the main properties of γ and their analogues for β and σ.

Transport of operators. Both γ and σ transport reduction operations on forms to cyclic permutation of the corresponding strings (Theorems 2.1 and 6.5). Zagier-reduction also transports through β to a simple operation on S_2 (Lemma 2.9). Scalar multiplication on forms transports through γ and σ to self-concatenation of the corresponding strings, and the solution of a Pellian equation determines the number of concatenations (Theorems 4.2 and 6.8).

Necklaces. A combinatorial necklace over an alphabet Σ is an equivalence class of finite strings over Σ under cyclic permutation. The name arises from the depiction, as in Figure 1, of the necklace represented by the string $s_1 \cdots s_k$ as a sequence of **beads** evenly spaced around a circle. We will consider **natural necklaces**, which have natural number beads, and **binary necklaces**, whose beads are 0's and 1's.

Because γ and σ transport reduction operations on forms to cyclic permutation of strings, they induce maps that send classes of indefinite forms to natural and binary necklaces respectively. We can view Gauss- and Zagier-reduction as rotating these necklaces, and a rotation of the binary necklace of a class is a "fractional rotation" of the natural necklace. Figure 2 shows the binary and natural necklaces for the unique class of discriminant 17, obtained from Examples 1 and 2 above.

In the context of eventually periodic continued fractions, moving from the natural string $\gamma(f)$ to a natural necklace is intuitive — when we arrange the minimal period of a continued fraction expansion in a necklace, the circular arrangement reflects the infinitely repeating nature of the continued fraction. The natural string $\beta(f)$ does not generally represent this natural necklace, but our development of the properties of β and σ culminates in the proof that $\beta(f)$ can be "tied-off" to obtain the minimal



FIGURE 1. The necklace of the string $s_1 \cdots s_k$



FIGURE 2. Binary and natural necklaces of the class of discriminant 17

period necklace (Theorem 5.1). Once again, the corresponding property of γ follows quickly (Theorem 6.7), but not conversely.

The map σ enjoys a similar relationship to Denjoy continued fractions. These are continued fractions with all quotients equal to 0 or 1 and such that two 0's never occur as consecutive quotients. Every irrational number expands uniquely in a Denjoy continued fraction, and quadratic irrationalities are precisely the numbers with eventually periodic Denjoy expansions. The binary necklace represented by $\sigma(f)$ gives the minimal period of the Denjoy continued fraction expansion of a quadratic irrationality associated with f (Theorem 5.6).

Transport of invariants. The induced maps from classes of indefinite forms connect certain class invariants with corresponding necklace invariants.

The **content** of a form is the greatest common divisor of its coefficients, and a form is **primitive** if it has content 1. Content is a class invariant, and a **primitive class** is one whose forms are all primitive. The **G-caliber** of a class is the number of G-reduced forms in the class that have positive first coefficient. The **Z-caliber** is the number of Z-reduced forms in the class. (The G-caliber is usually defined to be the number of G-reduced forms — this is twice as big as the definition we use. Our alternative definition cleans up some of the exposition and is in line with the definition of Dirichlet's map above.)

A string of length n is a **primitive string** if the cyclic group of order n acts freely upon it. A **primitive necklace** is one whose associated strings are primitive. Equivalently, a primitive necklace is one with no nontrivial rotational symmetry when its beads are evenly spaced around a circle. The **length** and **weight** of a binary necklace are the number of beads and the number of 1s in the necklace.

Through the induced map from form classes to binary necklaces, we have rough correspondences:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{primitive classes} &\leftrightarrow \text{primitive binary necklaces} \\ \text{G-caliber of primitive class} &\leftrightarrow \text{weight of binary necklace} \\ \text{Z-caliber of primitive class} &\leftrightarrow \text{length of binary necklace} \end{aligned}$$

Primitive classes are sent to primitive necklaces, and the first relation is a bijection if we restrict to classes of discriminant Δ such that the Pellian equation $t^2 - \Delta u^2 = -4$ is solvable (Theorem 2.6). It is two-to-one on the remaining classes. The first map induces the second and third relations, with the following caveat: when we restrict to discriminants such that $t^2 - \Delta u^2 = -4$, they are equalities — the calibers of primitive classes equal the corresponding weight and length of the binary necklaces. For the remaining discriminants, the weight or length of a primitive necklace equals the sum of the G-calibers or Z-calibers of the two classes that map to it.

The discriminant of a class does not correspond with a simple invariant of the corresponding binary necklace. However, the parity of the necklace weight determines whether the Pellian equation $t^2 - \Delta u^2 = -4$ is solvable or not (Proposition 2.3), and t gives an upper bound for the necklace length (Corollary 6.13).

Recently, Uludağ, Zeytin, and Durmuş (UZD) gave another construction of binary necklaces attached to classes of indefinite forms [13] . With each class, they associate a graph called a *çark*. A *çark* is a quotient of a Conway topograph [2], formed in such a way that the periodic river in the topograph becomes a cycle in the çark. We can assign an edge on the cycle to each Gauss-reduced form in the form class of the çark. Beginning at such an edge and traveling around the cycle, we encounter branches splitting off to the right and left. UZD construct a natural string by counting runs of consecutive branches that all split off in the same direction and recording a natural

number for each count. It turns out that the UZD natural necklace is essentially the minimal period necklace described above. Indeed, upon translating their construction into arithmetic, one sees that it begins the same as Dirichlet's map but expands z/Au in a negative continued fraction rather than a regular one. The rest of the UZD algorithm then amounts to performing the standard conversion from a negative continued fraction expansion of to its regular continued fraction [12]. Ultimately, the UZD construction is a graphical version of Dirichlet's map.

The maps β and σ have thus already proven themselves useful, forming the basis of three forthcoming works:

- Theorem 2.1 allows a simplification of a formula of Hirzebruch and Zagier for the value at zero of the partial zeta function of a form class. It has several interesting consequences:
  - new congruences between Zagier-calibers of form classes,
  - an analogue of Dirichlet's inequality that if $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ is prime, there are more quadratic residues than nonresidues in the interval $[1, \frac{p-1}{2}]$, and
  - a conjectural new analogue of Brumer's conjecture.
- A simple condition ensures that the composition of two Z-reduced forms is again Z-reduced. We apply σ to such trios of forms and use the resulting necklaces to study the class group
- We give a new characterization of the famous classes of Markoff — they are those whose necklaces have a line of symmetry and another line of "near-symmetry" of a certain type. We also show that moving down the binary tree of Z-reduced Markoff forms is accomplished by concatenating the corresponding binary strings.

2. Stringing necklaces

In this section, we show that σ induces a map from form classes to binary necklaces and deduce some consequences.

Zagier's reduction algorithm [15] proceeds by iterating the operator that sends the form $f = (A, B, C)$ with discriminant Δ to the equivalent form $f(nx + y, -x)$, where n is the "reducing number", defined using ceiling notation

$$n = \left\lceil \frac{B + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2A} \right\rceil \tag{2.1}$$

Recall the definition of Z-reduced forms from Definition 1.1.

Theorem. *(Zagier,* [15, §13]*) The orbit of an indefinite form under Zagier-reduction is eventually periodic. A form is Z-reduced if and only if its orbit is purely periodic, hence forms a cycle. There are finitely many Z-reduced forms of given discriminant, and each class of indefinite forms contains a unique cycle of Z-reduced forms.*

Our first theorem concerning the map σ is that it transports Zagier-reduction to cyclic permutation of the corresponding binary string. Let us denote the Zagier-reduction operator by R_Z.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that f is a Z-reduced form. The string $\sigma(R_Z \cdot f)$ is obtained from $\sigma(f)$ by cycling as follows:*

(i) If $\sigma(f)$ begins with a '0', then the initial '0' is removed from the front and appended to the back.

(ii) If $\sigma(f)$ has just one initial '1' and the succeeding characters are all '0', then the initial '1' is removed from the front and appended to the back.

(iii) If $\sigma(f)$ begins with a '1' and contains at least two '1's, then the string is cycled so that the second '1' is moved to the final position.

We delay the proof until the end of this section and turn first to further understand the implications of the theorem. Foremost, it shows that σ induces a well-defined map from classes of indefinite forms to binary necklaces. Recall that the number of 1's in a binary necklace is its *weight*, and the number of beads (i.e., characters) is its *length*.

Definition 2.2. If f is a Z-reduced form or $\mathfrak{c}$ is a class of indefinite forms, the **length** and **weight** of f or $\mathfrak{c}$ are the length and weight of the corresponding binary necklace.

From the definition of σ, we have:

Proposition 2.3. *The parity of the weight of a class of indefinite forms depends only on the discriminant of the class. A class $\mathfrak{c}$ of discriminant Δ has odd weight if $t^2 - \Delta u^2 = -4$ is solvable and even weight if it is not solvable.*

When the string $\sigma(f)$ begins with a 1, Theorem 2.1 shows that reducing f will rotate the string in such a way that it "skips a 1". That is, if we iteratively cycle $\sigma(f)$ with the operation described in the theorem, the next 1 to appear at the beginning of a string will be the third 1 appearing in $\sigma(f)$. If $\sigma(f)$ has odd weight, the strings $\sigma(R_Z^n \cdot f)$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ (the exponent denoting iteration) will eventually pass through every cyclic permutation of $\sigma(f)$. But if it has even weight, we miss some permutations. For such discriminants, the induced map from form classes to necklaces is two-to-one. To obtain a bijection, we must impose more structure on the necklaces.

Definition 2.4. An **alternating string** (resp. **alternating necklace**) is a binary string (resp. binary necklace) of even weight with 1's that come in two colors (we will use green and blue). The 1's alternate colors as you move along the string or necklace. Two alternating strings represent the same alternating necklace only if they can be cycled to have 1s and 0's coincide with matching colors. The binary necklace obtained by ignoring the colors in an alternating necklace is the **underlying necklace**. An alternating necklace is **primitive** if the underlying necklace is primitive. The **length** and **weight** of an alternating necklace are that of the underlying binary necklace.

We will use an overbar to denote forming equivalence classes, both of forms and of strings. Thus, $\overline{(A,B,C)}$ and $\overline{f}$ will both denote classes of forms, while $\overline{11101}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{s}}$ are necklaces represented by the strings 11101 and $\mathfrak{s}$. If $\mathfrak{s}$ is a binary string of even weight, we assume further that $\overline{\mathfrak{s}}$ is an alternating necklace and make the convention that when traversing the string $\mathfrak{s}$ from the left, the first '1' encountered is colored 1.

Definition 2.5. We denote by $\overline{\sigma}$ the map from classes of forms to necklaces defined as follows: $\overline{\sigma}(\mathfrak{c})$ is the necklace $\overline{\sigma(f)}$, where f is an arbitrary Z-reduced form in $\mathfrak{c}$. The restriction of $\overline{\sigma}$ to classes of odd weight maps to the set of binary necklaces of odd weight. It maps classes of even weight to the set of alternating necklaces.

Thus, in Example 2 above, we have

$$\overline{\sigma}(\overline{4f}) = \overline{10011100\,11}.$$

We now consider the relationship between certain class invariants and the corresponding necklaces. Recall from Section 1 the definitions of primitive forms/classes and of primitive strings/necklaces.

Theorem 2.6. *If f is a primitive Z-reduced form, then $\sigma(f)$ is a primitive string, and σ restricts to a bijection between the set of primitive Z-reduced forms and the set of primitive binary strings of nonzero weight. The induced map $\overline{\sigma}$ restricts to a bijection between the set of primitive classes of odd weight and the set of primitive binary necklaces of odd weight. It also restricts to a bijection between the set of primitive classes of even weight and the set of primitive alternating necklaces of nonzero weight.*

This theorem will be proved immediately following the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Definition 2.7. If $\mathfrak{c}$ is a class of indefinite forms, then the **Z-caliber** of the class is the number of Z-reduced forms in the class, denoted $k_Z(\mathfrak{c})$.

We can define a map

$$e\colon \text{alternating necklaces} \to \text{binary necklaces}$$

that takes an alternating necklace and excises all 1's colored 1 as well as the runs of zeroes immediately preceding those 1's. From Theorems 2.1 and 2.6, we immediately obtain:

Corollary 2.8. *If $\mathfrak{c}$ is a primitive class of odd weight with length l, then $k_Z(\mathfrak{c}) = l$. If the weight is even, then the $k_Z(\mathfrak{c})$ is the length of the necklace $e(\overline{\sigma}(\mathfrak{c}))$.*

(Corollary 6.12 shows that the length of a class of even weight is generally the sum of the calibers of two classes.)

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let T_Z be the endomorphism on the set of sequences of finite integers of length at least 2 that

operates as:

$$(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l) \mapsto \begin{cases} (q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l + 1), & \text{if } q_1 \geq 2, \\ (q_2, q_1), & \text{if } q_1 = 1 \text{ and } l = 2, \\ (q_3, \ldots, q_l, q_2, q_1), & \text{if } q_1 = 1 \text{ and } l > 2. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.9. *If f is a Z-reduced form, then* $\text{length}(\beta(f)) \geq 2$ *and* $\beta(R_Z(f)) = T_Z \circ \beta(f)$.

Before we take up the proof of Lemma 2.9, let us note that Theorem 2.1 is an immediate consequence of it. Recall that $\sigma = \text{sb} \circ \beta$, where sb is the "stars-and-bars" map. It is readily checked that applying T_Z to $\beta(f) = (q_1, \ldots, q_l)$ transports through sb to the operation described in Theorem 2.1, the three cases arising when $q_1 \geq 2$, when $q_1 = 1$ and $l = 2$, and when $q_1 = 1$ and $l > 2$ respectively.

We also make an observation that will be used frequently in what follows.

Lemma 2.10. *Suppose f is Z-reduced of discriminant Δ. If (t, u) is the fundamental solution of $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$, then $\beta(f) = \beta(uf)$, $\sigma(f) = \sigma(uf)$, and $\gamma(f) = \gamma(uf)$.*

This follows by examining the constructions of β and γ and observing that the fundamental solution of the Pellian equation $|x^2 - (u^2\Delta) \cdot y^2| = 4$ is $(t, 1)$.

Proof of Lemma 2.9. We defer the proof that $\beta(f)$ has length ≥ 2 to the proof of Proposition 3.2. We can reduce the other statement to the case where f has discriminant Δ of the form $k^2 \pm 4$. Indeed, Lemma 2.10 shows $\beta(f) = \beta(uf)$ with (t, u) the fundamental solution of $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$. Zagier's reduction operation commutes with scalar multiplication, so if the lemma holds for uf, then $T_Z \circ \beta(uf) = \beta(uR_Z(f))$. Then $T_Z \circ \beta(f) = \beta(R_Z(f))$ and the reduction is complete. The lemma might now be proved directly using properties of continued fractions, but we will instead use a result from an earlier work [11].

Suppose the Z-reduced form $f = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$ has discriminant $\Delta = k^2 + (-1)^s \cdot 4$, with $s = 0$ or 1. The Pellian equation $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$ then has fundamental solution $(t, u) = (k, 1)$, so to compute $\beta(f)$ we set $z = \frac{k+B}{2}$. We recall the definition of $\beta(f)$ and also define a modification $\tilde{\beta}(f)$:

- $\beta(f)$ is the sequence of length parity s obtained by expanding $\frac{z}{z-A}$ as a simple continued fraction.
- $\tilde{\beta}(f)$ is the sequence of length parity s obtained by expanding $\frac{z}{A}$ as a simple continued fraction.

(We say a sequence has length parity s if its length has the same parity as s.)

Let us say we **pinch** the left end of a finite sequence of positive integers by transforming it through the rule

$$(q_1, q_2, q_3, \ldots q_l) \mapsto \begin{cases} (1, q_1 - 1, q_2, q_3, \ldots, q_l), & \text{if } q_1 \geq 2, \\ (q_2 + 1, q_3, \ldots, q_l) & \text{if } q_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

We pinch the right end similarly. We also make the convention that the sequence '(1)' and the empty sequence are pinched by doing nothing.

We *knead* a finite sequence of positive integers by

(i) removing the leftmost entry, then
(ii) pinching both ends of what remains, then
(iii) placing the removed entry on the right end of the result.

Theorem 2 of [11] shows that Zagier-reduction transports through the map $\tilde{\beta}$ to kneading the corresponding sequence.

We claim that pinching both ends of $\tilde{\beta}(f)$ produces $\beta(f)$. Comparing the first few steps of the Euclidean algorithm with z and A and then with z and $z-A$ quickly reveals that one sequence of quotients is obtained from the other by pinching the left end. Pinching just the left end switches the length parity of the sequence of quotients, so to compute $\beta(f)$ from $\tilde{\beta}(f)$, we must change the parity again by switching to the other of the two simple continued fraction expansions of $\frac{z}{z-A}$. Since switching between the two continued fraction expansions is accomplished by pinching the right end of the sequence of quotients, the claim follows.

To conclude, we must see that acting with T_Z on a sequence $(q_1, \ldots, q_l)$ with $l \geq 2$ has the same effect as performing the three steps

(i) pinch both ends,
(ii) knead the result, then
(iii) pinch both ends again.

There are several cases to consider. First, we assume $q_1 \geq 2$ and $q_l = 1$. If $l \geq 3$, then depending on whether $q_1 = 2$ or $q_1 \geq 3$, the above three steps look as follows:

$$(2, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, 1) \mapsto (1, 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1} + 1) \mapsto (q_2 + 1, \ldots, q_{l-1}, 1, 1) \mapsto (1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, 2)$$
$$(q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}, 1) \mapsto (1, q_1 - 1, \ldots, q_{l-1} + 1) \mapsto (1, q_1 - 2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, 1, 1) \mapsto (q_1 - 1, \ldots, q_{l-1}, 2)$$

If $l = 2$, then depending on whether $q_1 = 2$ or $q_1 \geq 3$, the steps are instead

$$(2, 1) \mapsto (1, 2) \mapsto (2, 1) \mapsto (1, 2)$$
$$(q_1, 1) \mapsto (1, q_1) \mapsto (1, q_1 - 2, 1, 1) \mapsto (q_1 - 1, 2)$$

If instead $q_1 \geq 2$ and $q_l \geq 2$, we have in the cases $q_1 = 2$ or $q_1 \geq 3$

$$(2, q_2, \ldots, q_l) \mapsto (1, 1, q_2, \ldots, q_l - 1, 1) \mapsto (q_2 + 1, \ldots, q_l, 1) \mapsto (1, q_2, \ldots, q_l + 1),$$
$$(q_1, \ldots, q_l) \mapsto (1, q_1 - 1, \ldots, q_l - 1, 1) \mapsto (1, q_1 - 2, \ldots, q_l, 1) \mapsto (q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l + 1)$$

It remains to consider when $q_1 = 1$. First let us consider when $l \geq 4$. If $q_3 = 1$ and $q_l = 1$, then we have, depending on whether $l = 4$ or $l \geq 5$, either of the following:

$$(1, q_2, 1, 1) \mapsto (q_2 + 1, 2) \mapsto (2, q_2 + 1) \mapsto (1, 1, q_2, 1)$$
$$(1, q_2, 1, q_4 \ldots, q_{l-1}, 1) \mapsto (q_2 + 1, 1, q_4 \ldots, q_{l-1} + 1) \mapsto (q_4 + 1 \ldots, q_{l-1}, 1, q_2 + 1)$$
$$\mapsto (1, q_4, \ldots, q_{l-1}, 1, q_2, 1)$$

If instead $q_3 \geq 2$ and $q_l = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1, q_2, q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}, 1) &\mapsto (q_2 + 1, q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1} + 1) \mapsto (1, q_3 - 1, \ldots, q_{l-1}, 1, q_2 + 1) \\ &\mapsto (q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}, 1, q_2, 1)\end{aligned}$$

If $q_3 = 1$ and $q_l \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1, q_2, 1, q_4, \ldots, q_l) &\mapsto (q_2 + 1, 1, q_4, \ldots, q_l - 1, 1) \mapsto (q_4 + 1, \ldots, q_l, q_2 + 1) \\ &\mapsto (1, q_4, \ldots, q_l, q_2, 1)\end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $q_3 \geq 2$ and $q_l \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1, q_2, q_3, \ldots, q_l) &\mapsto (q_2 + 1, q_3, \ldots, q_l - 1, 1) \mapsto (1, q_3 - 1, \ldots, q_l, q_2 + 1) \\ &\mapsto (q_3, \ldots, q_l, q_2, 1)\end{aligned}$$

When $l = 3$, we have, when $q_3 = 1$ or $q_3 \geq 2$ respectively

$$\begin{aligned}(1, q_2, 1) &\mapsto (q_2 + 2) \mapsto (q_2 + 2) \mapsto (1, q_1, 1) \\ (1, q_2, q_3) &\mapsto (q_2 + 1, q_3 - 1, 1) \mapsto (1, q_3 - 1, q_2 + 1) \mapsto (q_3, q_2, 1)\end{aligned}$$

And finally, when $l = 2$, we have

$$(1, q_2) \mapsto (q_2, 1) \mapsto (1, q_2) \mapsto (q_2, 1)$$

In every case, the net result is the operation T_Z. □

3. Sections of β and σ

Let us consider what it takes to invert the string map σ. The stars-and-bars map sb is invertible, so from a given binary string $\mathfrak{s}$, we can produce a unique bead sequence. The map β from Z-reduced forms to bead sequences is generally many-to-one, but we can find a section using continuants. We introduce these now, as well as the fundamental identities that will be used heavily through the rest of the paper.

Let $[q_1, \ldots, q_l]$ and $\langle q_1, \ldots, q_l \rangle$ denote, respectively, the numerator and denominator when the regular continued fraction with quotients $(q_1, \ldots, q_l)$ is simplified to a reduced fraction. Then

$$\frac{[q_1, \ldots, q_l]}{\langle q_1, \ldots, q_l \rangle} = q_1 + \frac{1}{\frac{[q_2, \ldots, q_l]}{\langle q_2, \ldots, q_l \rangle}} = \frac{q_1 \, [q_2, \ldots, q_l] + \langle q_2, \ldots, q_l \rangle}{[q_2, \ldots, q_l]} \tag{3.1}$$

Since $[q_2, \ldots, q_l]$ and $\langle q_2, \ldots, q_l \rangle$ are relatively prime, the fraction on the right is reduced. Generally, then, $\langle q_1, \ldots, q_l \rangle = [q_2, \ldots, q_l]$ and $[q_1, \ldots, q_l]$ and $[q_2, \ldots, q_l]$ are coprime.

Definition 3.1. A **continuant** is a number attached to a natural string, denoted by

$$[q_1, \ldots, q_l]$$

and computed as the numerator when the regular continued fraction with partial quotients $q_1 \ldots, q_l$ is simplified to a reduced fraction. From (3.1), the corresponding denominator is then $[q_2, \ldots, q_l]$. Frequently, we will encounter identities that specialize

to involve continuants of the form $[q_s, q_{s-1}]$ and $[q_s, q_{s-2}]$. We make the convention that the former equals 1 and the latter equals 0.

Equation (3.1) gives the recursion $[q_1, \dots, q_l] = q_1 [q_2, \dots, q_l] + [q_3, \dots, q_l]$ for $l \geq 1$. This recursion shows that q_1 is the quotient and $[q_3, \dots, q_l]$ is the remainder when dividing $[q_1, \dots, q_l]$ by $[q_2, \dots, q_l]$. Consequently, if $\alpha = [q_1, \dots, q_l]$ and $\beta = [q_2, \dots, q_l]$, then α/β expands in a continued fraction with quotient sequence $(q_1, \dots, q_l)$.

From the above recursion, we find by induction the matrix identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} q_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} q_l & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} [q_1, \dots, q_l] & [q_1, \dots, q_{l-1}] \\ [q_2, \dots, q_l] & [q_2, \dots, q_{l-1}] \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.2}$$

Transposing, we find

$$[q_l, \dots, q_1] = [q_1, \dots, q_l]\,, \tag{3.3}$$

Taking determinants instead, we have

$$[q_1, \dots, q_l]\,[q_2, \dots, q_{l-1}] - [q_1, \dots, q_{l-1}]\,[q_2, \dots, q_l] = (-1)^l. \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.3), we find there are two recursions:

$$\begin{aligned} [q_1, \dots, q_l] &= q_1\,[q_2, \dots, q_l] + [q_3, \dots, q_l]\,, \\ [q_1, \dots, q_l] &= q_l\,[q_1, \dots, q_{l-1}] + [q_1, \dots, q_{l-2}]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

which have the useful consequences

$$\begin{aligned} [q_1 + q, q_2, \dots, q_l] &= [q_1, \dots, q_l] + q\,[q_2, \dots, q_l]\,, \\ [q_1, \dots, q_{l-1}, q_l + q] &= [q_1, \dots, q_l] + q\,[q_1, \dots, q_{l-1}]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [1, q_2, \dots, q_l] &= [q_2 + 1, \dots, q_l]\,, \\ [q_1, \dots, q_{l-1}, 1] &= [q_1, \dots, q_{l-1} + 1]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

We will find it useful to define a continuant with 0 as an entry. We set $[0] = 0$ and

$$[0, q_2, \dots, q_l] = [q_3, \dots, q_l]\,, \qquad [q_1, \dots, q_{l-1}, 0] = [q_1, \dots, q_{l-2}] \tag{3.8}$$

for $l \geq 2$. Identities (3.2) through (3.7) then remain true with continuants having 0 as an end entry.

We now return to considering a section of β. Given a finite sequence of positive integers $(q_1, \dots, q_l)$ with $l \geq 2$, we produce a form (A, B, C) by setting

$$\begin{aligned} A &= [q_1 - 1, q_2, \dots, q_l] \\ C &= [q_1, \dots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1] \\ B &= [q_1, \dots, q_l] + [q_1 - 1, q_2, \dots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1]\,, \end{aligned}$$

(A continuant with end entry equal to 0 is defined as in (3.8).) Let us denote the form with these coefficients by

$$\tau((q_1, \dots, q_l)). \tag{3.9}$$

Recall that S_2 is the set of natural strings with length ≥ 2. Let $\tilde{Z}$ be the set of Z-reduced forms with discriminant of the form $k^2 \pm 4$.

Proposition 3.2. *The map τ is a bijection from S_2 to $\tilde{Z}$, with inverse given by the restriction of β to $\tilde{Z}$. In fact, the discriminant of $\tau((q_1, \ldots, q_l))$ is*

$$([q_1, \ldots, q_l] - [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1])^2 + (-1)^l \cdot 4$$

Corollary 3.3. *The map σ is a surjection from the set of Z-reduced forms to the set of binary strings of nonzero weight. The map $\tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}$ is a section of σ whose image is the set of Z-reduced forms with discriminants of the form $k^2 \pm 4$.*

Proof. The following identity follows by using $[1, q_1 - 1, \ldots, q_l - 1, 1]$ in place of $[q_1, \ldots, q_l]$ in (3.4) and then applying (3.7):

(3.10)
$$[q_1, \ldots, q_l]\,[q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1] - [q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1]\,[q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_l] = (-1)^l$$

Using it, we compute the discriminant of $\tau((q_1, \ldots, q_l))$ to be

$$\begin{aligned}([q_1, \ldots, q_l] + [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1])^2 - 4\,[q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_l]\,[q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1] \\ = ([q_1, \ldots, q_l] - [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1])^2 + 4 \cdot (-1)^l.\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose we have a sequence $(q_1, \ldots, q_l)$ with $l \geq 2$, and set $\tau((q_1, \ldots, q_l)) = (A, B, C)$. The above discriminant is positive since, using (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned}[q_1, \ldots, q_l] - [q_1 - 1, q_2 \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1] &> [q_1, \ldots, q_l] - [q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1] \\ &= [q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}] \geq 2.\end{aligned}$$

To check that (A, B, C) is Z-reduced, we note that A, $C > 0$. Using (3.6) again,

$$\begin{aligned}&B - A - C \\ &= [q_1, \ldots, q_l] + [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1] - [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_l] - [q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1] \\ &= [q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}] - [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}] = [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}] > 0.\end{aligned}$$

We may thus apply β to $\tau((q_1, \ldots, q_l))$.

Using the discriminant of $\tau((q_1, \ldots, q_l))$ found above, $\beta \circ \tau((q_1, \ldots, q_l))$ is computed by expanding

$$\frac{z}{z - A} = \frac{[q_1, \ldots, q_l]}{[q_1, \ldots, q_l] - [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_l]} = \frac{[q_1, \ldots, q_l]}{[q_2, \ldots, q_l]}$$

in a continued fraction of length parity l. Thus, $\beta \circ \tau((q_1, \ldots, q_l)) = (q_1, \ldots, q_l)$.

Suppose now that (A, B, C) is Z-reduced of discriminant $\Delta = k^2 + (-1)^s \cdot 4$ with $k > 0$ (using $k = 1$ and $s = 0$ when $(A, B, C) = (1, 3, 1)$). The sequence $\beta((A, B, C))$ is found by setting $z = \frac{B+k}{2}$ and expanding $\frac{z}{z-A}$ as a continued fraction with quotients $(q_1, \ldots, q_l)$ chosen so that l and s have the same parity. We suppose, for now, that $l \geq 2$. We will see at the end of the proof that $l = 1$ cannot happen.

We have

$$\frac{B^2-k^2}{4} = AC + \frac{\Delta - k^2}{4} = AC + (-1)^l, \tag{3.11}$$

so $z - A$ and z are coprime. It follows that $z = [q_1, \ldots, q_l]$ and $z - A = [q_2, \ldots, q_l]$. Thus, $A = [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_l]$, and in particular, $0 < A < z$.

We also have

$$k^2 - (B-2A)^2 = \Delta - (B-2A)^2 - (-1)^l \cdot 4 = 4A(B-A-C) - (-1)^l \cdot 4 \geq 0. \tag{3.12}$$

If the inequality is strict, then $A > \frac{B-k}{2}$, and so from (3.11) we have $Az > AC + (-1)^l$ and then $z \geq C$. Were it true that $z = C$, we would have $k = 2C - B$ and

$$k^2 - (2C-B)^2 = 4C(B-A-C) - (-1)^l \cdot 4 = 0.$$

Then $C = 1$ and $B + k = 2$, but this cannot happen since $B \geq 3$ in a Z-reduced form. Thus, $C < z$. Otherwise, when (3.12) is an equality, then $A = 1$, $B = C + 2$, and l is even, so (3.11) shows $k = C$. Thus, $z = C + 1$, and so $C < z$.

Equation (3.11) also shows that $AC \equiv (-1)^{l+1} \pmod{z}$, so

$$[q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_l]\, C \equiv (-1)^{l+1} \pmod{[q_1, \ldots, q_l]}.$$

We obtain from (3.10) the congruence $C \equiv [q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1] \pmod{z}$. Since $0 < C < z$, we conclude $C = [q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1]$.

Our expressions for A and C now show that $\tau \circ \beta((A, B, C))$ has the form (A, B', C) with some middle coefficient B'. From (3.11) and (3.10), we also find that $\frac{B-k}{2} = [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1]$, and hence

$$k = z - \frac{B-k}{2} = [q_1, \ldots, q_l] - [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1$$

Our determination of the discriminant of $\tau((q_1, \ldots, q_l))$ then gives

$$B'^2 - 4AC = k^2 + 4 \cdot (-1)^l = B^2 - 4AC,$$

so $\tau \circ \beta((A, B, C)) = (A, B, C)$.

Reworking the above argument assuming $l = 1$, we find $z = q_1$, $A = q_1 - 1$, then $C = q_1 - 1$, and finally $\frac{B-k}{2} = q_1 - 2$. Then $B = 2q_1 - 2$, contradicting $B > A + C$. It follows that β is a bijection, with inverse τ, between $\tilde{Z}$ and S_2. □

4. Periodic strings

We will call the string obtained by concatenating together n copies of a string $\mathfrak{s}$ the **n-fold self-concatenation of $\mathfrak{s}$**. The two theorems in this section describe the behavior of $\tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}$ under self-concatenating the input string and of σ upon scaling the input form.

The precise statements of the theorems involve solutions of Pellian equations, and we briefly review what we need to know. If $\Delta > 0$ is nonsquare, then the equation $t^2 - \Delta u^2 = -4$ may or not have solutions, but the equation $t^2 - \Delta u^2 = 4$ always has

solutions with positive u. The pairs (t, u) of positive integers satisfying the Pellian equation $|t^2-\Delta u^2| = 4$ form an infinite sequence $(t_n, u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $t_n > t_m$ and $u_n > u_m$ for $n > m$. The pair (t_1, u_1) is the *fundamental solution*. Every pair in the sequence is determined from the fundamental solution by the identity

$$t_n + u_n\sqrt{\Delta} = 2\left(\frac{t_1}{2} + \frac{u_1}{2}\sqrt{\Delta}\right)^n \tag{4.1}$$

(proved, for instance, by modifying the line of reasoning in Barbeau [1, Section 4.2]). If $t^2-\Delta u^2 = -4$ has solutions, then (t_n, u_n) satisfies the equation $t_n^2-\Delta u_n^2 = (-1)^n\cdot 4$.

The main theorems of this section are the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{s}_n$ is the n-fold self-concatenation of a primitive string $\mathfrak{s}_1$ of nonzero weight. Let Δ be the discriminant of $\tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_1)$, and let $(t_n, u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of positive solutions of $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$. Then there is an equality of forms*

$$\tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_n) = u_n(\tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_1)).$$

The proof is technical, so it will be deferred until the end of the section.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that f is a primitive Z-reduced form of discriminant Δ. Let $(t_n, u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of positive solutions of $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$. For every positive integer m, there exists an n such that m divides u_n. If n_0 is the minimal positive such n, then $\sigma(mf)$ is the n_0-fold self-concatenation of the primitive string $\sigma(f)$. In particular, σ maps primitive forms to primitive strings.*

Theorem 4.2 is obtained proved using another observation about Pellian equations:

Lemma 4.3. *Let Δ and $(t_n, u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be as in Theorem 4.2. Then $u_n = u_1 y_n$, where $(x_n, y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of positive solutions of $|x^2 - \Delta u_1^2 y^2| = 4$.*

Proof. For every positive integer n, we have $|x_n^2 - \Delta(u_1 y_n)^2| = 4$, so multiplication by u_1 is an injection from $\{\, y_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N} \,\}$ into $\{\, u_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N} \,\}$. To see that the map is onto, we check first that u_1 divides u_n for all n. We know that (t_n, u_n) is given by (4.1). If Δ is divisible by 4, then t_1 is even. The coefficient of $\sqrt{\Delta/4}$ when expanding $\left(\frac{t_1}{2} + u_1\sqrt{\Delta/4}\right)^n$ is then divisible by u_1. Thus u_1 divides the coefficient of $\sqrt{\Delta}$ when the right side of (4.1) is expanded, and comparing with the left side, u_1 divides u_n. If Δ is odd, then t_1 and u_1 have the same parity. If $\frac{t_1}{2}$ and $\frac{u_1}{2}$ are integers, the check that u_1 divides u_n is similar. If instead t_1 and u_1 are odd, then an inductive argument shows u_1 divides u_n.

Setting $u_n = u_1 r$, we have $|t_n^2 - \Delta u_1^2 r^2| = 4$. Then $r = y_m$ for some m, and $u_n = u_1 y_m$. Multiplication by u_1 is thus an order-preserving bijection from $\{\, y_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N} \,\}$ to $\{\, u_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N} \,\}$, so the lemma is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We begin by proving the case $m = 1$, which just says $\sigma(f)$ is primitive. Lemma 2.10 shows $\sigma(f) = \sigma(u_1 f)$. Suppose that $\sigma(u_1 f)$ is obtained by concatenating k copies of a primitive string $\mathfrak{s}$ with itself. Set $g = \tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s})$.

Note that $u_1 f$ has discriminant $t_1^2 \pm 4$, and we may write the discriminant of g in the form $\Delta' = a^2 \pm 4$ for some integer a. Let $(x_n, y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of positive solutions of $|x^2 - \Delta' y^2| = 4$. Corollary 3.3 and Theorem 4.1 then imply that

$$u_1 f = \tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\sigma(u_1 f))) = y_k g$$

Since f is primitive, it follows that y_k divides u_1. Considering discriminants in the above equation, we find that $\left(a, \frac{u_1}{y_k}\right)$ is a solution to $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$. Since (t_1, u_1) is the fundamental solution, we must have $y_k = 1$, that is, $k = 1$. Thus, $\sigma(u_1 f)$ is primitive and the theorem holds when $m = 1$.

Next, let m an arbitrary positive integer. If $(\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{u}_1)$ is the fundamental solution of $|t^2 - \Delta m^2 u^2| = 4$, then $(\tilde{t}_1, m\tilde{u}_1) = (t_{n_0}, u_{n_0})$ for some positive integer n_0.

We claim that n_0 is the minimal positive n such that m divides u_n. Suppose k is a positive integer such that $u_k = mr$, with r an integer. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that if $(x_n, y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of positive solutions of $|x^2 - \Delta u_1^2 y^2| = 4$, then $|x_k^2 - \Delta m^2 r^2| = |x_k^2 - \Delta u_1^2 y_k^2| = 4$. Thus, (x_k, r) is a solution of $|t^2 - \Delta m^2 u^2| = 4$. Since $(\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{u}_1)$ is the fundamental solution, we have $r \geq \tilde{u}_1$. Then $u_k \geq m\tilde{u}_1 = u_{n_0}$, so $k \geq n_0$. Thus, n_0 is minimal as claimed.

Using Lemma 4.3 again, we have $\sigma(mf) = \sigma(m\tilde{u}_1 f) = \sigma(u_{n_0} f) = \sigma(y_{n_0} u_1 f)$. From above, we can write $u_1 f = \tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s})$ for a primitive string $\mathfrak{s}$, and Theorem 4.1 shows that $\sigma(y_{n_0} u_1 f)$ is the n_0-fold self-concatenation of $\sigma(u_1 f) = \sigma(f)$. □

Now, as promised in Section 2, we prove Theorem 2.6.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Suppose $\mathfrak{s}$ is a primitive binary string, set $g = \tau(\mathfrak{s})$, and let d be the content of g. Theorem 4.2 shows that $\mathfrak{s} = \sigma(d \cdot g')$ is a self-concatenation of the primitive string $\sigma(g')$ with itself. Since $\mathfrak{s}$ is primitive, it equals $\sigma(g')$. Thus, the map σ from primitive forms to primitive strings is surjective.

If f' and g' are primitive forms with $\sigma(f') = \sigma(g')$, Lemma 2.10 shows there are integers u and v such that $\sigma(f') = \sigma(uf')$ and $\sigma(g') = \sigma(vg')$ and uf' and vg' have discriminants of the form $k^2 \pm 4$. From this follows $uf' = \tau(\sigma(f')) = \tau(\sigma(g')) = vg'$. Then f' and g' are primitive forms with a common scalar multiple, so they are the same. This proves that σ restricts to a bijection between the sets of primitive Z-reduced forms and primitive strings. The rest of Theorem 2.6 follows from Theorem 2.1. □

Let us now prepare for the proof of Theorem 4.1 with some background on Chebyshev polynomials.

Definition 4.4. For each positive integer n, we define **Chebyshev polynomials** of the first and second kinds T_n and U_n through the recurrences

$$\begin{array}{lll} T_0 = 1 & T_1 = x & T_{n+1} = 2xT_n - T_{n-1} \\ U_0 = 1 & U_1 = 2x & U_{n+1} = 2xU_n - U_{n-1}. \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $s = 0$ or 1 and let n and a be positive integers with $a \geq 3$ when $s = 0$. Set $\Delta = a^2 - (-1)^s \cdot 4$. Let i denote a primitive 4th root of unity, and set $\xi = 1$ when s is even and $\xi = i$ when s is odd. With t_n and u_n defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &= 2(-1)^{sn}\xi^n T_n\left(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a\right). \\ u_n &= (-1)^{s(n-1)}\xi^{n-1}U_{n-1}\left(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

the sequence $(t_n, u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ comprises all solutions in positive integers to the Pellian equation $|x^2 - \Delta y^2| = 4$.

Proof. It is hard to find a reference for this result, so we sketch a proof. Observe that $(t_1, u_1) = (a, 1)$ is the fundamental solution to $|x^2 - \Delta y^2| = 4$.

Let us first consider when s is even. From (4.1), we have

$$t_n + 2u_n\sqrt{\left(\tfrac{a}{2}\right)^2 - 1} = 2\left(\frac{a}{2} + \sqrt{(\tfrac{a}{2})^2 - 1}\right)^n.$$

The identities

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= U_n - xU_{n-1} \\ (x^2-1)U_{n-1} &= T_{n+1} - xT_n \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

are readily checked, and from them follows the identity

$$(x + \sqrt{x^2-1})^n = T_n(x) + U_{n-1}(x)\sqrt{x^2-1}.$$

We thus find that

$$t_n = 2T_n(\tfrac{a}{2}), \qquad u_n = U_{n-1}(\tfrac{a}{2}).$$

Turning now to when s is odd, we find that

$$t_n + 2u_n\sqrt{\left(\tfrac{a}{2}\right)^2 + 1} = 2\left(\frac{a}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\tfrac{a}{2}\right)^2 + 1}\right)^n.$$

Once again using (4.3), it is readily checked that

$$\left(x + \sqrt{x^2+1}\right)^n = (-i)^n T_n(ix) + (-i)^{n-1}U_{n-1}(ix)\sqrt{x^2+1},$$

and so

$$t_n = 2(-i)^n T_n(\tfrac{ia}{2}), \qquad u_n = (-i)^{n-1}U_{n-1}(\tfrac{ia}{2}). \qquad \square$$

Let us call a finite sequence $(q_1, \ldots, q_l)$ *periodic* if there exists a positive integer $m < l$ such that $q_i = q_{i+m}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, l-m$. Thus, a sequence like (a, b, c, a, b, c, a, b) is periodic even though the final repeating block is incomplete. Given nonnegative integers k, r with $0 \leq r < l$, let us use the shorthand $(q_1, \ldots, q_l)^{(k,r)}$ to denote the periodic sequence obtained by forming the k-fold self-concatenation of $(q_1, \ldots, q_l)$ and then appending $(q_1, \ldots, q_r)$. We will also embed this shorthand to indicate periodic subsequences, and we will use it in continuants, e.g., we would write $\left[a, (b, c)^{(3,1)}, d\right]$ for the continuant $[a, b, c, b, c, b, c, b, d]$.

Our study of periodic binary necklaces leads to periodic continuants, which have been studied by Rózsa [10] and Elsner and Redheffer [5].

Lemma 4.6. *Let $(c_1, \ldots, c_m)$ be a sequence of positive integers, and set $\xi = 1$ if m is even and $\xi = i$ if m is odd. Set also*

$$\mathfrak{t} = \frac{\xi}{2}\left([c_1, \ldots, c_m] + [c_2, \ldots, c_{m-1}]\right).$$

Denote with U_n the nth Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\left[(c_1, \ldots, c_m)^{(n,0)}\right] &= (-1)^{mn}\xi^n U_n(\mathfrak{t}) - (-1)^{m(n-1)}\xi^{n-1}\left[c_2, \ldots, c_{m-1}\right] U_{n-1}(\mathfrak{t}) \\ \left[(c_1, \ldots, c_m)^{(n,m-1)}\right] &= (-1)^{mn}\xi^n\left[c_1, \ldots, c_{m-1}\right] U_n(\mathfrak{t}),\end{aligned}$$

and for $r = 1, \ldots, m-2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left[(c_1, \ldots, c_m)^{(n,r)}\right] &= (-1)^{mn+r}\xi^{n+1}\left[c_{r+2}, \ldots, c_{m-1}\right] U_{n+1}(\mathfrak{t}) \\ &\quad - (-1)^{m(n+1)+r}\xi^n\left[c_{r+2}, \ldots, c_m, c_1, \ldots, c_{m-1}\right] U_n(\mathfrak{t}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is well-known that the continuant $[c_1, \ldots, c_m]$ is the determinant of the tridiagonal matrix with $c_1, \ldots, c_m$ down the main diagonal, -1's in the diagonal just below, and 1s in the diagonal just above. Elsner and Redheffer [5, Remark 5] compute the characteristic polynomials of general tridiagonal matrices whose number of rows has the form nm or $nm-1$ when the entries down the diagonals have period m. The identities for $\left[(c_1, \ldots, c_m)^{(n,0)}\right]$ and $\left[(c_1, \ldots, c_m)^{(n,m-1)}\right]$ follow by specializing the matrices to those with -1's and 1's below and above the diagonal, then taking the constant term of the characteristic polynomial.

From (3.5), we have

$$\left[(c_1, \ldots, c_m)^{(n,m-2)}\right] = \left[(c_1, \ldots, c_m)^{(n+1,0)}\right] - c_m\left[(c_1, \ldots, c_m)^{(n,m-1)}\right].$$

Substituting with the first two identities of the lemma, we obtain the final identity with $m-2$. This forms the base case for an inductive proof of the general identity, working down from $r = m-2$ to $r = 1$. The inductive step uses the identity

$$\left[(c_1, \ldots, c_m)^{(n,r-1)}\right] = \left[(c_1, \ldots, c_m)^{(m,r+1)}\right] - c_{r+1}\left[(c_1, \ldots, c_m)^{(n,r)}\right]$$

for $r = 1, \ldots, m-2$, but the details are left to the reader. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Suppose $\mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_1) = (q_1, \ldots, q_l)$, and and set $\xi = 1$ when l is odd and $\xi = i$ when l is even. We assume that $l \geq 4$ and set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{t}_1 &= \frac{\xi}{2}\left([q_1 + q_l - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}] + [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-2}]\right) \\ \mathfrak{t}_2 &= \frac{\xi}{2}\left([q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1] + [q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}]\right) \\ \mathfrak{t}_3 &= \frac{\xi}{2}\left([q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1, q_2] + [q_4, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1]\right).\end{aligned}$$

(The proof of the theorem when $l = 2$ or 3 is similar to what follows and will be omitted.) Proposition 3.2 shows the discriminant Δ of $\tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_1)$ is $a^2 + (-1)^l \cdot 4$ with

$$a = [q_1, \ldots, q_l] - [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1]$$

We note that

$$\mathfrak{t}_1 = \mathfrak{t}_2 = \mathfrak{t}_3 = \frac{\xi}{2} a. \tag{4.4}$$

The equality $\mathfrak{t}_1 = \mathfrak{t}_2$ follows from the computation, using (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
&[q_1 + q_l - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}] + [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-2}] \\
&= (q_1 + q_l - 1)\,[q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}] + [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-2}] + [q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}] \\
&= [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1] + [q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}]\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathfrak{t}_2 = \mathfrak{t}_3$ follows from $\mathfrak{t}_1 = \mathfrak{t}_2$, noting that the latter actually expresses a general identity for continuants. For the final equality, (3.5) and (3.6) show that

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_l] - [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1] + [q_2, \ldots, q_l] \\
&= [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}] + q_l\,[q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}] + [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-2}] \\
&= (q_1 + q_l - 1)\,[q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}] + [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-2}] + [q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}] \\
&= [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1] + [q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}] = \frac{2}{\xi}\mathfrak{t}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The nth solution (t_n, u_n) of $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$ is given by (4.2). We must show that $\tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_n) = u_n(\tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_1))$. We have

$$\mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_n) = (q_1, (q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1)^{(n-1,l-2)}, q_l).$$

Let $\tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_1) = (A, B, C)$.

The First Coefficient. If $q_1 = 1$, then $A = [q_3, \ldots, q_l]$, and the first coefficient of $\tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_n)$ is

$$\left[(q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l, q_2)^{(n-1,l-2)}\right] = (-1)^{(n-1)(l+1)} \xi^{n-1} U_{n-1}(\mathfrak{t}_3)\,[q_3, \ldots, q_l] = u_n \cdot A$$

by Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 and (4.4).

If instead $q_1 \geq 2$, then $A = [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_l]$. Recursions (3.5) show that the first coefficient of $\tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_n)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[q_1 - 1, (q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1)^{(n-1,l-2)}, q_l\right] \\
&= (q_1 - 1) q_l \left[(q_2, \ldots q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1)^{(n-1,l-2)}\right] + (q_1 - 1)\left[(q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1)^{(n-1,l-3)}\right] \\
&\quad + q_l \left[(q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1, q_2)^{(n-1,l-3)}\right] + \left[(q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1, q_2)^{(n-1,l-4)}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

We may rewrite the first two terms, using Lemma 4.6 and (3.6), as

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{(n-1)(l-1)}\xi^{n-1}(q_1-1)q_l\left[q_2,\dots,q_{l-1}\right]U_{n-1}(\mathfrak{t}_2)\\
&\quad+(q_1-1)\left[(-1)^{nl+n}\xi^n U_n(\mathfrak{t}_2)-(-1)^{nl+n+l+1}\xi^{n-1}\left[q_1+q_l-1,q_2,\dots,q_{l-1}\right]U_{n-1}(\mathfrak{t}_2)\right]\\
&=(q_1-1)\left[(-1)^{nl+n}\xi^n U_n(\mathfrak{t}_2)-(-1)^{nl+n+l+1}\xi^{n-1}\left[q_1-1,q_2,\dots,q_{l-1}\right]U_{n-1}(\mathfrak{t}_2)\right].
\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

We may rewrite the second two terms as

$$\begin{aligned}
&q_l\left((-1)^{nl+n}\xi^n U_n(\mathfrak{t}_3)-(-1)^{nl+n+l+1}\xi^{n-1}\left[q_2,\dots,q_{l-1},q_1+q_l-1\right]U_{n-1}(\mathfrak{t}_3)\right)\\
&\quad+(-1)^{nl+n+1}\xi^n(q_1+q_l-1)U_n\left(\mathfrak{t}_3\right)\\
&\quad-(-1)^{nl+n+l}\xi^{n-1}\left[q_1+q_l-1,q_2,\dots,q_{l-1},q_1+q_l-1\right]U_{n-1}\left(\mathfrak{t}_3\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

Combining (4.5), (4.6), and (4.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[q_1-1,(q_2,\dots,q_{l-1},q_1+q_l-1)^{(n-1,l-2)},q_l\right]\\
&=(-1)^{nl+n+l+1}\xi^{n-1}\left(\left[q_1+q_l-1,q_2,\dots,q_{l-1},q_1+q_l-1\right]-q_l\left[q_2,\dots,q_{l-1},q_1+q_l-1\right]\right.\\
&\quad\left.-(q_1-1)\left[q_1-1,q_2,\dots,q_{l-1}\right]\right)U_{n-1}\left(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a\right)\\
&=(-1)^{nl+n+l+1}\xi^{n-1}\left(\left[q_1-1,q_2,\dots,q_{l-1},q_1+q_l-1\right]-(q_1-1)\left[q_1-1,q_2,\dots,q_{l-1}\right]\right)U_{n-1}(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a)\\
&=(-1)^{nl+n+l+1}\xi^{n-1}U_{n-1}\left(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a\right)\left[q_1-1,q_2,\dots,q_l\right].
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that the first coefficient of the form $\tau\circ\mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_n)$ is $u_n\cdot A$.

The Last Coefficient. The symmetry (3.3) shows that reversing the strings $\mathfrak{s}_1$ and $\mathfrak{s}_t$ switches the first and last coefficients of $\tau\circ\mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_1)$ and $\tau\circ\mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_t)$, so this follows from the case done above.

The Discriminant. Since the middle coefficient of a form, when positive, is determined by the discriminant and the other two coefficients, we can finish the proof that $\tau\circ\mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_n)=u_n(\tau\circ\mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s}_1))$ by checking that both forms have the same discriminant. When q_1 and q_l are greater than 1, we must then show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\left[q_1,(q_2,\dots,q_{l-1},q_1+q_l-1)^{(n-1,l-2)},q_l\right]-\left[q_1-1,(q_2,\dots,q_{l-1},q_1+q_l-1)^{(n-1,l-2)},q_l-1\right]\right)^2\\
&\quad-(-1)^{(l-1)n}\cdot 4\\
&=u_n^2\cdot\Delta=t_n^2-(-1)^{(l-1)n}\cdot 4.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, we must show

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[q_1,(q_2,\dots,q_{l-1},q_1+q_l-1)^{(n-1,l-2)},q_l\right]-\left[q_1-1,(q_2,\dots,q_{l-1},q_1+q_l-1)^{(n-1,l-2)},q_l-1\right]\\
&=t_n=(-1)^{nl+n}2\xi^nT_n\left(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.5), (3.6), and (3.8), if either of q_1 or q_l is 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[q_1, (q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1)^{(n-1,l-2)}, q_l\right] - \left[q_1 - 1, (q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1)^{(n-1,l-2)}, q_l - 1\right] \\
&= (q_1 + q_l - 1)\left[(q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1)^{(n-1,l-2)}\right] + \left[(q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1, q_2)^{(n-1,l-3)}\right] \\
&\quad + \left[(q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1)^{(n-1,l-3)}\right] \\
&= \left[(q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1)^{(n,0)}\right] + \left[(q_1 + q_l - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1})^{(n,0)}\right] \\
&\quad - (q_1 + q_l - 1)\left[(q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1)^{(n-1,l-2)}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

At this point, even if $q_1 = 1$ or $q_l = 1$, the continuants here have only positive entries. Lemma 4.6 and (4.4) then show the above expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&2 \cdot (-1)^{nl+n}\xi^n U_n\left(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a\right) \\
&\quad - (-1)^{nl+n+l+1}\xi^{n-1}U_{n-1}\left(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a\right)([q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}] + [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-2}] + (q_1 + q_l - 1)[q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}]) \\
&= 2 \cdot (-1)^{nl+n}\xi^n U_n\left(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a\right) - (-1)^{nl+n+l+1}\xi^{n-1}U_{n-1}\left(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a\right)([q_1, \ldots, q_l] - [q_1 - 1, q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1]) \\
&= (-1)^{nl+n}2\xi^n\left(U_n\left(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a\right) - \frac{\xi}{2}aU_{n-1}\left(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a\right)\right) \\
&= (-1)^{nl+n}2\xi^n T_n\left(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a\right).
\end{aligned}$$

(The final equality uses the first relation of (4.3).) Putting everything together, we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[q_1, (q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1)^{(n-1,l-2)}, q_l\right] - \left[q_1 - 1, (q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}, q_1 + q_l - 1)^{(n-1,l-2)}, q_l - 1\right] \\
&= (-1)^{nl+n}2\xi^n T_n\left(\tfrac{\xi}{2}a\right) = t_n,
\end{aligned}$$

and the theorem is proved. □

5. Necklaces from quadratic irrationalities

Recall that the stars-and-bars map sb sends the set of nonempty natural strings bijectively to the set of binary strings. In a moment, we will define a very similar map, also denoted sb, that sends the set of nonempty natural necklaces to the set of binary necklaces of weight ≥ 1. From Theorem 2.1 we know σ induces a map that assigns such a binary necklace to each class of forms, so through sb^{-1} we also have a way to assign a natural necklace to each class. In this section, we prove that this natural necklace is the same as that discussed in the introduction, that is, the necklace arising from the minimal period of a quadratic irrationality associated with a form in the class. We then show that the binary necklace of a class also has an interpretation as the minimal period of a Denjoy continued fraction.

To define sb on a nonempty natural necklace, we sum the beads in the necklace and arrange that number of stars around a circle. Insert bars between stars so they are bunched into groups whose sizes match the sequence of necklace beads. Replace each bar with '1' and each empty gap between stars with '0', then remove all the stars to

obtain a binary necklace. The number of beads is the number of bars, so the binary necklace will have weight ≥ 1. It is readily checked that this is a bijection.

We have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{form } f & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \text{binary string} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sb}^{-1}} & \text{bead sequence} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{connect} & & \downarrow h \\ \text{class } \overline{f} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\sigma}} & \text{binary necklace} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sb}^{-1}} & \text{natural necklace} \end{array}$$

Here, σ and $\overline{\sigma}$ are the string and necklace constructions from Section 2; sb^{-1} reverses the stars-and-bars construction, either on a string on a necklace; and "connect" indicates looping around the two ends of a binary string into a circle and connecting them. Note that $\mathrm{sb}^{-1} \circ \sigma = \beta$. (The results of this section do not use the coloration of alternating necklaces; we will ignore colors until Section 6.)

To compute $h((q_1, \ldots, q_l))$, we arrange beads labeled $q_1, \ldots, q_l$ around a circle and then replace the adjacent q_1 and q_l beads with the single bead $q_1 + q_l - 1$.

Throughout this section, if $f = (A, B, C)$ is a form, we set

$$\xi_f = \frac{B + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2A}.$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f = (A, B, C)$ be a primitive Z-reduced form of discriminant Δ. If $\mathfrak{s}$ is the minimal period of the regular continued fraction expansion of ξ_f, we have an equality of natural necklaces*

$$h \circ \beta(f) = \overline{\mathfrak{s}}.$$

The theorem follows immediately from the following proposition

Proposition 5.2. *Let $f = (A, B, C)$ be a primitive Z-reduced form of discriminant Δ. Suppose that the regular continued fraction expansion of ξ_f has sequence of quotients of the form $(q_1, \ldots, q_l, \overline{p_1, \ldots, p_k})$ with minimal period $(p_1, \ldots, p_k)$.*

If $q_1 \geq 2$, then $l = 1$, $q_1 = p_k + 1$, and

$$\beta(f) = (1, p_k, p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1}).$$

Z-reducing f transports to the following operation on the continued fraction expansion:

$$(p_k + 1, \overline{p_1, \ldots, p_k}) \mapsto \begin{cases} (p_2 + 1, \overline{p_3, \ldots, p_k, 1, p_2}), & \text{if } p_1 = 1, \\ (1, p_1 - 1, \overline{p_2, \ldots, p_k, p_1}), & \text{if } p_1 \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

If $q_1 = 1$, then $l = 2$, $q_2 < p_k$, and

$$\beta(f) = (q_2 + 1, p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1}, p_k - q_2).$$

Z-reducing f transports to the following operation on the continued fraction expansion:

$$(1, q_2, \overline{p_1, \ldots, p_k}) \mapsto \begin{cases} (p_1 + 1, \overline{p_2, \ldots, p_k, p_1}), & \text{if } q_2 = 1, \\ (1, q_2 - 1, \overline{p_1, \ldots, p_k}), & \text{if } q_2 \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

These transformation rules reflect the action of the operator T_Z described just before Lemma 2.9.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 will require the standard lemma:

Lemma 5.3 ([8], Theorem 172)**.** *If*

$$x = \frac{P\zeta + R}{Q\zeta + S}$$

where $\zeta > 1$ and P, Q, R, and S are integers such that

$$Q > S > 0, \qquad PS - QR = \pm 1,$$

*then R/S and P/Q are two consecutive convergents to the simple continued fraction whose value is x. If R/S is the $(n-1)$th convergent, and P/Q the nth, then ζ is the $(n+1)$ **complete quotient** (i.e., the number whose continued fraction is that of x with the first n partial quotients removed.)*

Proof of Proposition 5.2. We verify some special cases first. When $f = (1, C+2, C)$, we have $\beta(f) = (1, C)$. For $g = (1, B, 1)$, we have $\beta(g) = (1, B-2, 1)$. It is readily checked that the continued fraction expansions of ξ_f and ξ_g have quotient sequences $(C+1, \overline{C})$ and $(B-1, \overline{1, B-2})$. Zagier reduction sends f to $f' = (C, C+2, 1)$, and the continued fraction expansion of ξ'_f has quotient sequence $(1, C-1, \overline{C})$ (or $(2, \overline{1})$, when $C = 1$). Also, g is fixed under Zagier-reduction. From these observations we see that the proposition holds when $A = 1$ and $B = C + 2$ or when $A = C = 1$. We assume from now on that we are not in either of these cases. In particular, $\Delta \geq 12$.

The bead sequence $\beta(f)$ is computed by solving $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$ for the fundamental solution and then expanding $z = \frac{t+Bu}{2}/(\frac{t+Bu}{2} - Au)$ in a continued fraction. Suppose first that $q_1 \geq 2$. To show that $\beta(f)$ begins with a 1, we will show that $Au < \frac{t+Bu}{4}$.

Since $q_1 \geq 2$, we have $4A - B < \sqrt{\Delta}$. Since $\Delta \geq 12$,

$$t - (4A - B)u > t - \sqrt{\Delta}\, u = \pm \frac{4}{t + \sqrt{\Delta} u} > -1$$

Since $t - (4A - B)u$ is an integer, we have $t - (4A - B)u \geq 0$. Thus,

$$Au \leq \frac{t + Bu}{4}.$$

Observe that Au and $\frac{t+Bu}{2}$ are coprime since

$$\frac{t + Bu}{2} \; \frac{t - Bu}{2} = -AuCu \pm 1. \tag{5.1}$$

Thus, if $\frac{t+Bu}{4} = Au$, then $A = 1$, and $t + B = 4$, so $(A, B, C) = (1, 3, 1)$ and $\Delta = 5$, contradicting our hypothesis.

Conversely, if $\beta(f)$ begins with a 1, then $t - (4A - B)u \geq 1$. Since $(t - \sqrt{\Delta}u)(t + \sqrt{\Delta}u) = \pm 4$ and $t + \sqrt{\Delta}u > 4$, it follows that $\left|t - \sqrt{\Delta}u\right| < 1 \leq t - (4A - B)u$. Thus, $4A - B < \sqrt{\Delta}$ and $q_2 \geq 2$.

Let us continue to suppose that $q_1 \geq 2$ and see that $\eta = \xi_f - 1$ has a purely periodic continued fraction expansion. We apply Lemma 5.3 with $x = \zeta = \eta$, $P = \frac{t+Bu}{2} - Au$, $Q = Au$, $R = (B - A - C)u$, and $S = \frac{t-Bu}{2} + Au$. We first check that

$$\eta = \frac{(\frac{t+Bu}{2} - Au)\eta + (B - A - C)u}{Au\eta + \frac{t-Bu}{2} + Au},$$

that is,

$$\eta^2 - \frac{B - 2A}{A}\eta - \frac{B - A - C}{A} = 0.$$

Since $\eta = \frac{B-2A+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2A}$, the trace is right, and we readily check that

$$\eta\overline{\eta} = -\frac{B - A - C}{A}.$$

Next,we note that $Q > S$ is equivalent to $\frac{t-Bu}{2} < 0$. This follows from (5.1) since we are assuming $AC \geq 2$. Furthermore,

$$PS - QR = \pm 1 - ACu^2 - A^2u^2 + ABu^2 - (B - A - C)Au^2 = \pm 1.$$

Since f is Z-reduced, $QR > 0$, so $PS \geq 0$, and thus, $S \geq 0$. Equality can only happen if $A = u = 1$ and $B = C + 2$, which we assumed is not the case. Thus, $S > 0$.

All of the assumptions of the Lemma are met, and we conclude that the continued fraction expansion of η is purely periodic. Further, the partial quotients of a period are given by the quotients in the continued fraction expansion of $(\frac{t+Bu}{2} - Au)/Au$. That is, if $\beta(f) = (1, c_1, \ldots, c_l)$, then the sequence $(c_1, \ldots, c_l)$ forms a period of the continued fraction expansion of η. By Theorem 2.6, the binary necklace $\overline{\sigma}(\overline{f})$ is primitive, and it is readily checked that then the natural necklace $\overline{(c_1, \ldots, c_l)}$ is too. Hence, the sequence $(c_1, \ldots, c_l)$ actually gives the fundamental period of η. The expression for $\beta(f)$in the case $q_1 \geq 2$ has now been verified.

To verify the transformation rules, we begin by computing the form obtained by Z-reducing f. It is

$$g = (A(q_1 + 1)^2 - B(q_1 + 1) + C)x^2 + (2A(q_1 + 1) - B)xy + Ay^2.$$

It is readily checked that

$$\frac{2A}{B - 2Aq_1 + \sqrt{\Delta}} = \frac{2A(q_1 + 1) - B + \sqrt{\Delta}}{(2A(q_1 + 1) - B + \sqrt{\Delta}) - (2A(q_1 + 1)^2 - 2B(q_1 + 1) + 2C)}.$$

The identity

$$\frac{a}{b} = 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{a}{a-b} - 1}$$

then shows

$$\xi_g = \frac{2A(q_1+1) - B + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2A(q_1+1)^2 - 2B(q_1+1) + 2C} = 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{2A}{B-2Aq_1+\sqrt{\Delta}} - 1}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\frac{2A}{B - 2Aq_1 + \sqrt{\Delta}} = \frac{1}{\frac{B+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2A} - q_1}. \tag{5.2}$$

This latter is the second complete quotient of ξ_f. It has already been shown that this tail is a purely periodic continued fraction expansion with sequence of quotients $(\overline{p_1, \ldots, p_k})$. The transformation rules for the case $q_1 \geq 2$ are then readily checked.

Let us consider now the case $q_1 = 1$ in the theorem. Assume for now that we know the continued fraction expansion of ξ_f has quotients of the form $(1, q_2, \overline{p_1, \ldots, p_k})$. The right side of (5.2) then has continued fraction expansion with quotients $(q_2, \overline{p_1, \ldots, p_k})$, the work above (5.2) still applies, and the transformation rules are readily checked.

Lemma 2.9 shows that every cycle of Z-reduced forms contains a form f such that $\beta(f)$ begins with 1, and we have already proved the theorem for this f. We will now check that the theorem holds for every form in the cycle of f, proceeding inductively, and the proof will be complete. Suppose that f_n is obtained from f by reducing n times and that the theorem holds for f_i, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. We have checked that the continued fraction expansion of $\xi_{f_{n-1}}$ transforms as in the theorem into the continued fraction expansion of ξ_{f_n}. Lemma 2.9 tells us how to compute $\beta(f_n)$ from $\beta(f_{n-1})$. We may quickly check that if $\xi_{f_{n-1}} = (q_1, \ldots, q_l, \overline{p_1, \ldots, p_k})$, then in each of the four cases $q_1 \geq 2$, $p_1 = 1$; $q_1, p_1 \geq 2$; $q_1 = q_2 = 1$; $q_1 = 1$, $q_2 \geq 2$, the bead sequence of $\beta(f_n)$ is related to the continued fraction expansion of ξ_{f_n} in the form specified in the theorem. Thus, the theorem holds for every Z-reduced form in the cycle, and the proof is complete. □

If f is a Z-reduced form, Theorem 5.1 gives us a way to view $\beta(f)$ as giving the minimal period of the continued fraction of ξ_f. There is also a way to view $\sigma(f)$ as a minimal period, but of a Denjoy continued fraction [3, pp.11-12], [9].

Definition 5.4. A **Denjoy continued fraction** is an expression

$$q_1 + \cfrac{1}{q_2 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots}}$$

in which all quotients are 0 or 1 and such that the quotient sequence does not include two consecutive zeroes. If $\xi > 0$ is an irrational number, then ξ expands uniquely in

a Denjoy continued fraction as follows. Set $\xi_0 = \xi$. For $n \geq 1$, we define ξ_n and q_n recursively by setting

$$q_n = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \xi_{n-1} < 1, \qquad q_n = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \xi_{n-1} > 1$$

and $\xi_n = \frac{1}{\xi_{n-1} - q_n}$.

We can deal with convergents whose final quotient is 0 by making the replacement

$$\ddots + \cfrac{1}{q_{n-2} + \cfrac{1}{1 + \cfrac{1}{0}}} = \ddots + \frac{1}{q_{n-2}}.$$

The replacement rule

$$a + \cfrac{1}{0 + \cfrac{1}{b}} = a + b$$

lets us transform the sequence of quotients of a Denjoy continued fraction into the sequence of quotients of the regular continued fraction. A Denjoy continued fraction whose quotient sequence has the tail $(1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots)$ converges to a rational number. Thus, when considering the Denjoy expansion of an irrational number, every 0 after the initial term is contained in a maximal subsequence of the form $(1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \ldots, 0, 1)$. Replacing each such subsequence by a, where $a - 1$ is the number of zeroes in the subsequence, gives the corresponding regular continued fraction. Inverting this process lets us go from a regular continued fraction back to a Denjoy continued fraction.

From the criterion for periodicity of a regular continued fraction, we obtain:

Theorem 5.5. *The sequence of quotients of the Denjoy continued fraction of an irrational number ξ is eventually periodic if and only if ξ is a quadratic irrational.*

The rest of this section takes up the analogue of Theorem 5.1 for the map σ.

Theorem 5.6. *If $f = (A, B, C)$ is a Z-reduced form of discriminant Δ, then the quotient sequence of the Denjoy continued fraction of $\xi_f - 1$ is purely periodic. The minimal period is the binary string obtained from $\sigma(f)$ by replacing each 0 by 01.*

Remarks. **1.** It may have been better to define σ to be the map that produces the string in the theorem, but simplifying Theorem 5.6 did not by itself seem a compelling reason to introduce this complication.

2. It is known [15, §13] that the quotient sequence of the negative continued fraction of ξ_f is purely periodic if and only if f is Z-reduced, and that these conditions are equivalent to $\xi_f > 1$, $0 < \xi_f' < 1$, where ξ_f' is the conjugate of ξ.

For convenience, let us write $\gamma = \xi_f - 1$. Then

$$\gamma > 0, \qquad -1 < \gamma' < 0,$$

and the theorem says that these conditions are sufficient for the Denjoy continued fraction expansion of γ to be purely periodic with minimal period ending in 1. The surjectivity of σ shows that the conditions are also necessary.

Suppose now that ξ has a Denjoy continued fraction that is purely periodic with minimal period ending in zero. Prepending a 0 gives the sequence of quotients of another purely periodic Denjoy continued fraction, this time with minimal period ending in 1. From this follows the inequalities $\xi > 1$ and $\xi' < -1$. Combined with the earlier inequalities, we find the criterion: a quadratic irraitonality ξ has purely periodic Denjoy continued fraction expansion if and only if

$$\xi > 0, \ \ -1 < \xi' < 0 \qquad \text{or} \qquad \xi > 1, \ \ \xi' < -1.$$

Proof of Theorem 5.6. From Proposition 5.2, the quotient sequence of the regular continued fraction expansion of $\xi_f - 1$ has one of the forms

$$(\overline{p_k, p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1}}), \qquad \text{or} \qquad (0, q, \overline{p_1, \ldots, p_k}),$$

Let us call these "case 1" and "case 2" respectively. In the first case, we have $\beta(f) = (1, p_k, p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1})$, and in the second case, $\beta(f) = (q+1, p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1}, p_k - q)$ with $q < p_k$. Thus $\sigma(f)$ has one of the forms

$$10^{p_k-1}10^{p_1-1}1\cdots 0^{p_{k-1}-1}, \qquad \text{or} \qquad 0^q 10^{p_1-1}1\cdots 0^{p_{k-1}-1}10^{p_k-q-1}, \tag{5.3}$$

in which 0^p indicates a run of p zeroes.

In case 1, the Denjoy continued fraction expansion of $\xi_f - 1$ is purely periodic with minimal period

$$1, (0,1)^{p_k-1}, 1, (0,1)^{p_1-1}, 1, (0,1)^{p_2-1}, \ldots, (0,1)^{p_{k-1}-1},$$

where $(0,1)^a$ represents the a-fold self-concatenation of the string 01. Excising the 1 following every 0 gives the first of the strings (5.3). In case 2, the Denjoy continued fraction is purely periodic with minimal period

$$(0,1)^q, 1, (0,1)^{p_1-1}, 1, \ldots, (0,1)^{p_k-q-1}.$$

Excising the 1 following every 0 then gives the second of the strings (5.3). □

6. Comparing Gauss- and Zagier-reduction

This section clarifies the simple relationship between the beading map β and Dirichlet's map γ and justifies the statement that Zagier-reduction refines Gauss-reduction. We also deduce the main properties of γ from the analogous properties of β and σ.

Our presentation of Gauss-reduction is a modification of the usual algorithm, moving through the cycle of reduced forms in the reverse direction. This puts the algorithm in a form parallel to Zagier's algorithm, which is necessary for the statement of Theorem 6.5. We will only apply Gauss's reduction algorithm to G-reduced forms. Recall that these forms were defined in Definition 1.1.

So, suppose $f = (A, B, C)$ is G-reduced. Compute δ such that

$$|\delta| = \left\lfloor \frac{B + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2|A|} \right\rfloor$$

with the sign of δ chosen so that $\delta A > 0$. Our version of Gauss's reduction operator sends f to the form $f(\delta x + y, -x)$. This switches the sign of A.

Expanding the notation from Section 1, we let

- S_1 be the set of nonempty natural strings,
- S_2 be the set of natural strings of length ≥ 2,
- B_1 be the set of binary strings of weight ≥ 1,
- G be the set of all Gauss-reduced forms,
- $G^+ = \{ (A, B, C) \mid (A, B, C) \in G, A > 0 \}$,
- $G^- = \{ (A, B, C) \mid (A, B, C) \in G, A < 0 \}$, and
- Z be the set of all Z-reduced forms.

Recall that G^+ is the domain of γ. In every indefinite class, the number of G-reduced forms is even, and half have $A > 0$. The restriction $A > 0$ arises naturally in the following context: Z-reduced forms are in bijection with quadratic irrationalities having purely-periodic negative continued fraction expansion. The corresponding statement about Gauss-reduced forms is only true if we restrict to forms with $A > 0$.

Definition 6.1. If $\mathfrak{c}$ is a class of indefinite forms, the number of forms in $G^+ \cap \mathfrak{c}$ is the **G-caliber** of $\mathfrak{c}$, denoted $k_G(\mathfrak{c})$.

We also define maps

- $\eta^+ \colon S_1 \to S_2$, which prepends a 1 to $\mathfrak{s} \in S_1$,
- $\eta^- \colon S_1 \to S_2$, which appends a 1 to $\mathfrak{s} \in S_1$,
- $\mathrm{R} \colon S_1 \to S_1$, defined so that $(q_1, \ldots, q_l)^{\mathrm{R}} = (q_l, \ldots, q_1)$,
- $T_G \colon S_1 \to S_1$, defined so that $T_G((q_1, \ldots, q_l)) = (q_2, \ldots, q_l, q_1)$,
- $T_Z \colon S_2 \to S_2$ defined by (2.2).
- $\mu \colon G \to Z$ defined by (1.1),
- $\rho \colon G \to G$, defined so that $\rho((A, B, C)) = (-A, B, -C)$,
- $R_G \colon G \to G$, the Gauss-reduction operator,
- $R_Z \colon Z \to Z$, the Zagier-reduction operator.

We also define an operator R on the set of all binary quadratic forms by $(A, B, C)^{\mathrm{R}} = (C, B, A)$. The reader should note from context whether R is being applied to a string or to a form. Please note as well

- R, ρ, and R_G induce maps $G^+ \to G^-$ and $G^- \to G^+$ (which we denote also with R, ρ, and R_G),
- f and $\mu(f)$ are equivalent forms,
- f and $\rho(f)$ are equivalent if f is in a class of odd weight, but otherwise are in different classes,
- f and f^{R} are in inverse classes in the class group.

Proposition 6.2.

(i) If $f \in G^-$, then $\gamma(f^R) = (\gamma \circ \rho(f))^{\mathrm{R}}$.
(ii) If $f \in Z$, then $\beta\left(f^{\mathrm{R}}\right) = \beta(f)^{\mathrm{R}}$.

Proof. Let $f = (A, B, C) \in G^-$ have discriminant Δ, and let (t, u) be the fundamental solution of $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$. If $z = \frac{t+Bu}{2}$, then $\gamma(f^{\mathrm{R}}) = (q_1, \ldots, q_l)$ is the sequence of partial quotients when expanding z/Cu in a continued fraction. Since

$$\frac{t - Bu}{2} z = \frac{t^2 - B^2u^2}{4} = (-1)^l - ACu^2, \tag{6.1}$$

we have $Au \cdot Cu \equiv (-1)^l \pmod{z}$, $\frac{t-Bu}{2} \geq 0$, and $\gcd(z, Cu) = 1$, so also $z = [q_1, \ldots, q_l]$ and $Cu = [q_2, \ldots, q_l]$. From (3.4), we then have $Cu\,[q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}] = (-1)^{l+1} \pmod{z}$, and it follows that

$$-Au \equiv [q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}] \pmod{z}. \tag{6.2}$$

We show now that $-Au$ and $[q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}]$ are both between 1 and z. This is clear for the latter, and $-Au \geq 1$ since $f \in G^-$. Let us suppose that $-Au > z$. Then (6.1) implies $\frac{t-Bu}{2} z - Cuz \geq 0$, so $t \geq (B + 2C)u$. Squaring both sides and simplifying, we deduce

$$t^2 - \Delta u^2 \geq 4Cu^2(B + A + C).$$

Since $f \in G^-$, we know the right side is positive. Then $t^2 - \Delta u^2 = 4$ and we have equality, so $u = 1$ and $t = B + 2C$. Also, $C = 1$, $B + A + C = 1$, so $B = -A$ and $t = 2C - A$. Then $z = C - A$, contradicting the assumption that $-Au > z$.

We now know that $-Au$ and $[q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}]$ are both between 1 and z. In light of (6.2), they are equal. From (3.3), $-Au = [q_{l-1}, \ldots, 1]$ and $z = [q_l, \ldots q_1]$. To compute $\gamma \circ \rho(f)$, we expand $z/(-Au)$ in a continued fraction with quotient sequence of length parity l. Thus, $\gamma \circ \rho(f) = (q_l, \ldots, q_1)$, and the proof of (i) is complete. We omit the details of the very similar argument that proves (ii). □

The next theorem gives the precise relationship between γ and the beading map β and is the key to connecting results about Z-reduced forms with results about G-reduced forms.

Theorem 6.3. *The following diagrams commute*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G^+ & \xhookrightarrow{\mu} & Z & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \\ S_1 & \xhookrightarrow{\eta^+} & S_2 & \xhookrightarrow{\mathrm{sb}} & B_1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} G^- & \xhookrightarrow{\mu} & Z & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma\circ\rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \\ S_1 & \xhookrightarrow{\eta^-} & S_2 & \xhookrightarrow{\mathrm{sb}} & B_1 \end{array} \tag{6.3}$$

*The maps drawn with horizontal arrows are injections, and the ones drawn with vertical arrows are surjections (*sb *is a bijection). Also,*

$$\mu(G^+) = \beta^{-1}(\eta^+(S_1))$$
$$\mu(G^-) = \beta^{-1}(\eta^-(S_1).$$

A section of β is the map τ of (3.9)*, while a section of γ is the map $\xi((q_1,\dots,q_l)) = (A,B,C)$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} A = &\quad [q_2,\dots,q_l] \\ B = &\quad [q_1,q_2,\dots,q_l] - [q_2,\dots,q_{l-1}] \\ C = &- [q_1,\dots,q_{l-1}] \end{aligned}$$

The form (A,B,C) has discriminant

$$\left([q_1,\dots,q_l] + [q_2,\dots,q_{l-1}]\right)^2 + (-1)^{l+1}\cdot 4,$$

and the image of ξ is the set of forms in G^+ with discriminants of the form $k^2 \pm 4$.

Proof. It is readily checked that if $f \in G^+$, then $\mu(f) \in Z$, so $\beta\circ\mu(f)$ is defined. Let us consider how to compute $\eta^+\circ\gamma(f)$. If f has discriminant Δ, we first compute the fundamental solution of $|t^2-\Delta u^2| = 4$, then set $z' = \frac{t+Bu}{2}$, and expand $\frac{z'}{Au}$ in a continued fraction — use the quotient sequence of even length if $t^2-\Delta u^2 = -4$ and odd if $t^2-\Delta u^2 = 4$. If the resulting string is $(q_1,\dots,q_l)$, we find $\eta^+\circ\gamma(f) = (1,q_1,\dots,q_l)$.

To compute $\beta\circ\mu(f)$, since $\mu(f)$ also has discriminant Δ, we set $z = \frac{t+(B+2A)u}{2}$, then expand $\frac{z}{z-Au}$ in a continued fraction with quotient sequence of length parity $l+1$. As observed in the proof of Lemma 2.9, the quotient sequence of the expansion of $\frac{z}{z-Au}$ is obtained by pinching both ends of the quotient sequence of the expansion of $\frac{z}{Au}$. Comparing z' and z, we see that $\frac{z}{Au}$ expands as a continued fraction with quotient sequence $(q_1+1,q_2,\dots,q_l)$. Thus, $\beta\circ\mu(f)$ is found by pinching both ends of $(q_1+1,q_2,\dots,q_l)$, or it would be except that the length parity is wrong. Changing the length parity is accomplished by switching between the two continued fraction expansions of $\frac{z}{z-Au}$, and that is done by pinching the right end of the quotient sequence. Thus, $\beta\circ\mu(f) = (1,q_1,\dots,q_l) = \eta\circ\gamma(f)$ and the diagram commutes.

To prove that $\eta^-\circ\gamma\circ\rho(f) = \beta\circ\mu(f)$ for all $f\in G^-$, we can combine what was just shown with Proposition 6.2. If $f\in G^-$, then $f^{\mathrm{R}}\in G^+$ and $\mu(f^{\mathrm{R}}) = \mu(f)^{\mathrm{R}}$. Thus,

$$(\beta\circ\mu(f))^{\mathrm{R}} = \beta\left(\mu(f)^{\mathrm{R}}\right) = \beta\circ\mu\left(f^{\mathrm{R}}\right) = \eta^+\circ\gamma(f^{\mathrm{R}}) = \left(\eta^-\circ\gamma\circ\rho(f)\right)^{\mathrm{R}},$$

so $\beta\circ\mu(f) = \eta^-\circ\gamma\circ\rho(f)$.

It is easily verified that η^+, and η^- are injections and that restricting μ to G^+ or G^- gives an injection. Proposition 3.2 states that β is a surjection with section τ.

Let us now verify that that $\mu(G^+) = \beta^{-1}(\eta^+(S_1))$. Suppose $\mathfrak{s} = q_1\dots q_l$ is a nonempty natural string and that $f = (A,B,C)$ is a Z-reduced form such that

$$\beta(f) = \eta^+(\mathfrak{s}) = 1q_1\dots q_l. \tag{6.4}$$

Then f is in $\mu(G^+)$ if and only if $f(x-y,y) = (A,B-2A,A-B+C)$ is G-reduced. Since f is Z-reduced, we have $A(A-B+C)<0$. We must check also that $B-2A > |2A-B+C|$. Since $C>0$, it suffices to show $4A-2B+C<0$.

Since f is Z-reduced, we have $C - B < 0$, so $4A - 2B + C < 0$ is immediate if $4A - B < 0$. We may thus assume $4A - B \geq 0$. If f has discriminant Δ and (t, u) is the fundamental solution of $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$, then $\beta(f)$ is computed by setting $z = \frac{t+Bu}{2}$ and expanding $\frac{z}{z-Au}$ in a continued fraction. From (6.4), the first quotient is 1, so either $\beta(f) = (1, 1)$ or $Au < \frac{z}{2}$. If $\beta(f) = (1, 1)$, then $f = (1, 3, 1)$ and $4A - 2B + C = -1 < 0$. Otherwise,

$$4A - B < \frac{t}{u},$$

and we have assumed the left side is nonnegative. Squaring both sides and using the definition of (t, u), we find

$$4A - 2B + C < \pm\frac{1}{Au^2} \leq 1.$$

But $4A - 2B + C = f(2, -1)$, and f cannot take the value 0 since its discriminant is nonsquare. The desired inequaltiy $4A - 2B + C < 0$ follows, and we have shown $\beta^{-1}(\eta^+(S_1)) \subset \mu(G^+)$. The reverse inclusion follows from the commutativity of the first diagram.

If instead $\beta(f) = \eta^-\mathfrak{s} = q_1 \dots q_l 1$, then Proposition 6.2 shows $\beta\left(f^{\mathrm{R}}\right) = \eta^+\left(\mathfrak{s}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)$. Since $\beta^{-1}(\eta^+(S_1)) = \mu(G^+)$, we have $f^{\mathrm{R}} = \mu(g)$ for some $g \in G^+$, hence $f = (\mu(g))^{\mathrm{R}} = \mu(g^R)$, hence $f \in \mu(G^-)$. Thus, $\beta^{-1}(\eta^-(S_1)) \subset \mu(G^-)$, and the reverse inclusion again follows by commutativity.

We now check that ξ is a section of γ. If $\mathfrak{s} = q_1 \dots q_l$ is in S_1, then $\tau \circ \eta^+(\mathfrak{s}) = (A', B', C')$ with

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= [q_2, \dots, q_l]\,, \\ B' &= [q_1 + 1, q_2, \dots, q_l] + [q_2, \dots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1]\,, \\ C' &= [q_1 + 1, q_2, \dots, q_{l-1}, q_l - 1]\,. \end{aligned}$$

This is in $\beta^{-1}(\eta^+(S_1))$, so equals $\mu(g)$ for

$$g = (A', B' - 2A', A' - B' + C') \in G^+.$$

A few applications of (3.6) shows that g is the form $\xi(\mathfrak{s})$ in the theorem. Diagram chasing then proves $\gamma \circ \xi(\mathfrak{s}) = \mathfrak{s}$. This also shows that γ is a surjection.

Proposition 3.2 shows that $\tau \circ \eta^+(\mathfrak{s})$ has discriminant

$$([q_1 + 1, q_2, \dots, q_l] - [q_2, \dots, q_l - 1])^2 + (-1)^{l+1} \cdot 4.$$

But $g = \xi(\mathfrak{s})$ has the same discriminant, and the formula for the discriminant of $\xi(\mathfrak{s})$ in the theorem appears with a couple applications of (3.6). This shows that $\xi(S_1)$ is contained in the set of forms in G^+ with discriminant of the form $k^2 \pm 4$. Conversely, if f is such a form, we have just shown $\xi \circ \gamma(f)$ is the unique form in $g \in G^+$ with $\mu(g) = \tau \circ \eta^+ \circ \gamma(f)$. But

$$\tau \circ \eta^+ \circ \gamma(f) = \tau \circ \beta \circ \mu(f) = \mu(f),$$

so $f = \xi \circ \gamma(f)$. □

Lemma 6.4. *If $f = (A, B, C) \in G^+$ and $\gamma(f) = (q_1, \ldots, q_l)$, then*

$$R_G(f) = f(q_1 x + y, -x).$$

Proof. Suppose f has discriminant Δ and let (t, u) be the fundamental solution of $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$. Since R_G commutes with scalar multiplication, Lemma 2.10 shows that it suffices to prove the lemma when $u = 1$ and f has discriminant $t^2 \pm 4$.

From Theorem 6.3, we have $f = \xi((q_1, \ldots, q_l))$, $t = [q_1, \ldots, q_l] + [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}]$, and thus

$$A = [q_2, \ldots, q_l], \qquad \frac{t + B}{2} = [q_1, \ldots, q_l], \qquad \frac{t - B}{2} = [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}].$$

We verify that

$$(2q_1 A - B)^2 < t^2 + (-1)^{l+1} \cdot 4 < (2(q_1 + 1)A - B)^2. \tag{6.5}$$

When $l = 1$, this reduces to $q_1^2 < q_1^2 + 4 < q_1^2 + 4q_1 + 4$, so let us assume that $l \geq 2$. We readily check that $q_1 A - B = [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}] - [q_3, \ldots, q_l]$, and then

$$q_1(q_1 A - B) < [q_1, ..., q_{l-1}] < (q_1 + 1)(A(q_1 + 1) - B).$$

Multiplying through by $4A = 4[q_2, \ldots, q_l]$ and using (3.4), we obtain

$$4q_1^2 A^2 - 4q_1 AB < t^2 - B^2 + (-1)^{l+1} \cdot 4 < 4(q_1 + 1)^2 A^2 - 4(q_1 + 1)AB$$

and (6.5) follows. The discriminant of f is $\Delta = t^2 + (-1)^{l+1} \cdot 4$, so since $2q_1 A - B > 0$, we have

$$q_1 < \frac{B + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2A} < q_1 + 1,$$

and the lemma follows. □

Theorem 6.5. *If $f \in G^+$ and $g \in Z$, then*

$$\gamma(\rho \circ R_G(f)) = T_G(\gamma(f)), \qquad \gamma(R_G^2(f)) = T_G^2(\gamma(f)), \qquad \beta(R_Z(g)) = T_Z(\beta(g)),$$
$$\mu(R_G(f)) = R_Z(\mu(f)), \qquad \mu(R_G^2(f)) = R_Z^{q_2}(\mu(f)).$$

(An exponent on an operator indicates iteration.)

Proof. Let f have discriminant Δ and let (t, u) be the fundamental solution of $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$. Noting that R_G and ρ commute with scalar multiplication, we find using Lemma 2.10 that $\gamma(\rho \circ R_G(uf)) = \gamma(u(\rho \circ R_G(f))) = \gamma(\rho \circ R_G(f))$ and $T_G(\gamma(uf)) = T_G(\gamma(f))$. Thus, in proving the first relation, we may assume without loss of generality that f has discriminant $t^2 \pm 4$ and $u = 1$.

Suppose that $f = (A, B, C)$ and $\gamma(f) = (q_1, \ldots, q_l)$. Theorem 6.3 shows that $f = \xi((q_1, \ldots, q_l))$, $t = [q_1, \ldots, q_l] + [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}]$, and thus

$$A = [q_2, \ldots, q_l], \qquad \frac{t + B}{2} = [q_1, \ldots, q_l], \qquad \frac{t - B}{2} = [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}].$$

From Lemma 6.4, we have $\rho \circ R_G(f) = (-q_1^2 A + q_1 B - C, 2q_1 A - B, -A)$. This form has discriminant $t^2 \pm 4$, so we compute $\gamma(\rho \circ R_G(f))$ by setting

$$z = \frac{t - B + 2q_1 A}{2} = [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}] + q_1 [q_2, \ldots, q_l] = [q_2, \ldots, q_l, q_1]$$

and expanding $z/(-q_1^2 A + q_1 B - C)$ in a continued fraction. This denominator equals

$$\begin{aligned} &- q_1^2 [q_2, \ldots, q_l] + q_1 [q_1, \ldots, q_l] - q_1 [q_2, \ldots, q_{l-1}] + [q_1, \ldots, q_{l-1}] \\ &= q_1 [q_3, \ldots, q_l] + [q_3, \ldots, q_{l-1}] = [q_3, \ldots, q_l, q_1]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\gamma(\rho \circ R_G(f)) = (q_2, \ldots, q_l, q_1) = T_G(\gamma(f)).$$

The relation $\gamma(R_G^2(f)) = T_G^2(\gamma(f))$ follows immediately from the first, noting that ρ and R_G commute. The relation $\beta(R_Z(f)) = T_Z(\beta(f))$ is the content of Lemma 2.9.

To check the final two relations, we can again reduced to when $u = 1$ and f has discriminant $t^2 \pm 4$. Theorem 6.3 gives

$$\beta \circ \mu(R_G(f)) = \eta^- \circ \gamma \circ \rho(R_G(f)), \qquad \beta \circ \mu\left(R_G^2(f)\right) = \eta^+ \circ \gamma\left(R_G^2(f)\right).$$

The first two relations then give

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \circ \mu(R_G(f)) &= \eta^- \circ T_G(\gamma(f)) = (q_2, \ldots, q_l, q_1, 1), \\ \beta \circ \mu\left(R_G^2(f)\right) &= \eta^+ \circ T_G^2(\gamma(f)) = (1, q_3, \ldots, q_l, q_1, q_2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the third relation and Lemma 2.9 give

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta(R_Z(\mu(f))) = T_Z(\beta \circ \mu(f)) = T_Z(\eta^+ \circ \gamma(f)) = (q_2, \ldots, q_l, q_1, 1), \\ &\beta(R_Z^{q_2}(\mu(f))) = T_Z^{q_2}(\beta \circ \mu(f)) = T_Z^{q_2}(\eta^+ \circ \gamma(f)) = T_Z^{q_2}((1, q_1, \ldots, q_l)) = (1, q_3 \ldots, q_l, q_1, q_2). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 2.6 that β is a bijection between the set of all Z-reduced forms with discriminants of the form $k^2 \pm 4$ and S_2, and the final two relations follow. □

Corollary 6.6. *If f and g are G-reduced forms such that $\mu(f) = \mu(g)$, then one of f and g is in G^+ and the other is in G^-. If $g \in G^-$, then*

$$f = R_G(g) = g(-x + y, -x).$$

Conversely, if $g \in G^-$ and $f = g(-x + y, -x)$, then $\mu(f) = \mu(g)$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 6.5, we reduce immediately to the case when f and g have discriminants of the form $t^2 \pm 4$. To verify the first statement, we examine (1.1) and observe that μ is injective when restricted to either G^+ or G^-. So suppose $g \in G^-$ and $f \in G^+$ and $\mu(f) = \mu(g)$. We apply β and use Theorem 6.3 to obtain

$$\eta^+ \circ \gamma(f) = \eta^- \circ \gamma \circ \rho(g).$$

There exists then a natural string $\mathfrak{s}$ such that $\gamma \circ \rho(g) = 1\mathfrak{s}$ and $\gamma(f) = \mathfrak{s}1$, so

$$\gamma(f) = T_G \circ \gamma \circ \rho(g) = \gamma \circ \rho \circ R_G \circ \rho(g) = \gamma(R_G(g)).$$

Theorem 6.3 shows that γ is a bijection when restricted to forms with discriminants of the form $t^2 \pm 4$, so $f = R_G(g)$. Let $g' = \rho(g) \in G^+$. Since $\gamma(g') = 1\mathfrak{s}$, Lemma 6.4 shows that $\rho \circ R_G(g) = R_G(g') = g'(x+y, -x)$, and $R_G(g) = g(-x+y, -x)$ follows.

The converse is checked with a quick computation. □

Remarks. 1. The corollary can be proved directly. If $f = (A, B, C)$, $A > 0$ and $g = (A', B', C')$, $C' > 0$, then $\mu(f) = \mu(g)$ leads to the relations $A = A' + B' + C'$, $B + 2A = B' + 2C'$, and $A + B + C = C'$. These readily imply $C = A' = -\frac{B+B'}{2}$, and from this, $f = g(-x+y, -x)$. It can then be shown that $f = R_G(g)$.

2. The corollary is visually evident from the Conway topograph of $\mathfrak{c}$ once we learn how to see G- and Z-reduced forms: G-reduced forms correspond to "riverbends" [14] and Z-reduced forms correspond to "positive confluences", i.e., inlets to the river from the side with positive numbers. Every riverbend is adjacent to exactly one positive confluence, and μ maps the corresponding G-reduced form to the corresponding Z-reduced form. Pairs of forms that have the same image under μ correspond to adjacent riverbends, and being adjacent forces the conditions of the corollary.

We now use Theorems 6.3 and 6.5 to deduce properties of γ from the corresponding properties of β and σ. Foremost is the analogue of Theorem 5.1:

Theorem 6.7. *If $f = (A, B, C) \in G^+$ is primitive of discriminant Δ, then the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{B+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2A}$ is purely periodic with minimal period $\gamma(f)$.*

Proof. If $\gamma(f) = q_1 \dots q_l$, Theorem 6.3 shows that $\beta \circ \mu(f) = 1q_1 \dots q_l$. The quadratic irrationality corresponding to $\mu(f)$ is $1 + \frac{B+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2A}$. Proposition 5.2 shows that this has continued fraction expansion of the form $(q_1 + 1, \overline{q_2, \dots, q_l, q_1})$, and subtracting 1, the theorem follows. □

The analogue of Theorem 4.2 for γ is:

Theorem 6.8. *Suppose that $f = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2 \in G^+$ is primitive of discriminant Δ. Let $(t_n, u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of positive solutions of $|t^2 - \Delta u^2| = 4$. Let m be a positive integer and let n_0 be the smallest positive integer such that m divides u_{n_0}. Then $\gamma(mf)$ is the n_0-fold self-concatenation of the primitive string $\gamma(f)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.3,

$$\mathrm{sb} \circ \eta^+ \circ \gamma(mf) = \mathrm{sb} \circ \beta \circ \mu(mf) = \sigma(m\mu(f)).$$

Then $\mu(f)$ is primitive of discriminant Δ, so Theorem 4.2 shows $\sigma(m\mu(f))$ is the n_0-fold self-concatenation of the primitive binary string $\sigma(\mu(f)) = \mathrm{sb} \circ \eta^+ \circ \gamma(f)$, which begins with a 1. Undoing $\mathrm{sb} \circ \eta^+$, we can check that $\gamma(mf)$ is the n_0-fold self-concatenation of $\gamma(f)$. It is readily checked that $\mathfrak{s}$ is an imprimitive natural string if and only if $\mathrm{sb} \circ \eta^+(\mathfrak{s})$ is an imprimitive binary string, so $\gamma(f)$ is primitive. □

Finally, we prove the analogue of Theorem 2.6. Before we state it, we need to add colors to natural necklaces.

Definition 6.9. An **alternating natural string** is a natural string of even length written in two colors, with colors alternating as you traverse the sequence. An **alternating natural necklace** is an equivalence class of alternating natural strings under cyclic permutation. The **underlying necklace** is the natural necklace obtained by ignoring bead colors. A necklace of n beads is **primitive** if the underlying necklace is primitive.

Suppose now that the class $\overline{f}$ has even weight. In Section 2, we made the convention that the output of $\overline{\sigma}(\overline{f})$ has 1s colored alternately so that when reading across $\sigma(f)$ from the left, the first 1 encountered is colored 1. Recall the map h from Section 5, which ties off a natural sequence to form a necklace. If $\mathfrak{s}$ is a binary string of even weight, then $h \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}$ is a natural string of even length. We make the convention that when $\mathfrak{s}$ is an alternating binary string, then $h \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s})$ is an alternating natural necklace. We assume that the special bead formed by combining the two end beads of $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{b}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s})$ has the same color as the first 1 in $\mathfrak{s}$. When $\mathfrak{s} = \sigma(f)$ for some $f \in Z$, the special bead is then colored q.

The relation $\gamma(R_G^2(f)) = T_G^2(\gamma(f))$ implies that γ induces a map $\overline{\gamma}$ from classes of indefinite forms to natural necklaces. We make the convention that $\overline{\gamma}$ sends classes of even weight to alternating natural necklaces. For consistency with the above convention, the first bead of $\overline{\gamma}$ must be colored q.

Theorem 6.10. *If f is a primitive form in G^+, then $\gamma(f)$ is a primitive string, and γ restricts to a bijection between the set of primitive forms in G^+ and the set of (nonempty) primitive natural strings. There is an induced map $\overline{\gamma}$ from classes of indefinite forms to necklaces that restricts to a bijection between the set of primitive classes of odd weight and the set of natural necklaces of odd length and to a bijection between the set of primitive classes of even weight and the set of (nonempty) alternating natural necklaces.*

Proof. Theorem 6.8 shows that γ maps primitive forms to primitive strings. Let G' and Z' be the sets of primitive forms in G^+ and Z, and let S_1' and B_1' be the sets of primitive strings in S_1 and B_1. Because content is a class invariant, μ maps G' into Z'. For the rest of this proof, we restrict our maps as follows:

$$\gamma\colon G' \to S_1', \qquad \sigma\colon Z' \to B_1', \qquad \mu\colon G' \to Z'.$$

Theorem 2.6 shows that σ is a bijection. The injectivity of γ follows from the first of Diagrams (6.3) and the injectivity of μ (restricted to G^+), η^+, and σ. We now check that γ is surjective. If $\mathfrak{s} \in S_1'$, then $\mathrm{sb} \circ \eta^+(\mathfrak{s})$ is primitive, and the surjectivity of σ lets us produce $f \in Z'$ with $\sigma(f) = \mathrm{sb} \circ \eta^+(\mathfrak{s})$. Theorem 6.3 implies $\sigma^{-1}(\mathrm{sb} \circ \eta^+(S_1)) = \mu(G^+)$, so there is $g \in G^+$ with $\mu(g) = f$. Then g and f are equivalent, so g is primitive, and diagram chasing shows $\gamma(g) = \mathfrak{s}$. The statements about $\overline{\gamma}$ follow immediately. □

We will now use Theorem 6.10 to draw conclusions about ideal classes and their necklaces. All forms $(-1, B, C)$ of given discriminant Δ are equivalent. Let us call their equivalence class $\mathfrak{J}_\Delta$. Recall that Gauss's composition operation turns the primitive classes of discriminant Δ into an abelian group. It can be shown that the order of $\mathfrak{J}_\Delta$ in the class group is 1 if $\mathfrak{J}_\Delta$ has odd weight and 2 if $\mathfrak{J}_\Delta$ has even weight. It can also be shown that if $\mathfrak{c}$ is a primitive class of discriminant Δ and $f \in \mathfrak{c}$, then $\rho(f) \in \mathfrak{J}_\Delta \cdot \mathfrak{c}$, where the dot denotes composition. From the relation $\gamma(\rho \circ R_G(f)) = T_G(\gamma(f))$ of Theorem 6.5, we now obtain immediately

Theorem 6.11. *Let $\mathfrak{c}$ denote a primitive class of indefinite forms of discriminant Δ and even weight. Then switching bead colors in the alternating necklace $\overline{\gamma}(\overline{\mathfrak{c}})$ produces the necklace $\overline{\gamma}(\mathfrak{J}_\Delta \cdot \mathfrak{c})$.*

Corollary 6.12. *If $\mathfrak{c}$ is a primitive class of discriminant Δ, weight $w(\mathfrak{c})$, and length $l(\mathfrak{c})$, then*

$$w(\mathfrak{c}) = \begin{cases} k_G(\mathfrak{c}), & \text{when } w \text{ is odd,} \\ k_G(\mathfrak{c}) + k_G(J_\Delta \cdot \mathfrak{c}) = 2k_G(\mathfrak{c}), & \text{when } w \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$l(\mathfrak{c}) = \begin{cases} k_Z(\mathfrak{c}), & \text{when } w \text{ is odd,} \\ k_Z(\mathfrak{c}) + k_Z(J_\Delta \cdot \mathfrak{c}), & \text{when } w \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Choose $f \in G^+ \cap \mathfrak{c}$. Theorem 6.10 and the relation $\gamma(R_G^2(h)) = T_G^2(\gamma(h))$ from Theorem 6.5 immediately imply that γ restricts to a bijection

$$\gamma\colon G^+ \cap \mathfrak{c} \to \{\, T_G^{2k}(\gamma(f))\colon k = 0, 1, \dots \}. \tag{6.6}$$

The cardinality of the set on the left is $k_G(\mathfrak{c})$. Examining the stars and bars map, we see that the length of $\gamma(f)$ is the weight of $\mathfrak{c}$. Because $\mathfrak{c}$ is primitive, and hence $\gamma(\mathfrak{c})$ is primitive, the cardinality of the set on the right is w if w is odd, and $w/2$ if w is even. Also, $k_G(\mathfrak{c}) = k_G(\mathfrak{J}_\Delta \cdot \mathfrak{c})$ follows from Theorem 6.11, and the proof of the formula for the weight is complete.

Now let $g = \mu(f)$. Theorem 2.6 implies that there is a bijection

$$\beta\colon Z \cap \mathfrak{c} \to \{\, T_Z^k(\beta(g))\colon k = 0, 1, \dots \}$$

The cardinality of the set on the left is $k_Z(\mathfrak{c})$. Let U_Z be the operator on binary strings defined in Theorem 2.1. The cardinality of the set on the right is the same as the cardinality of $\{\, U_Z^k(\sigma(g))\colon k = 0, 1, \dots \}$. If $\mathfrak{c}$ has odd weight, then $U_Z^k(\sigma(g))$ passes through every cyclic permutation of $\sigma(g)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$. If it has even weight, and if $\gamma(f) = (q_1, \dots, q_r)$, then Theorem 6.3 shows $l(\mathfrak{c}) = \sum_{i=1}^r q_i$, and from Theorem 6.11 we have

$$k_Z(\mathfrak{c}) = \sum_{i=1}^{l/2} q_{2i}, \qquad k_Z\left(\mathfrak{J}_\Delta \cdot \mathfrak{c}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{l/2} q_{2i-1}.$$

The formula for l follows. □

Corollary 6.13. *Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be a class of indefinite forms of discriminant Δ and length $l(\mathfrak{c})$, and let (t,u) be the fundamental solution to the Pellian equation $|t^2-\Delta u^2|=4$. Then*

(i) when $t^2-\Delta u^2=-4$, we have $l(\mathfrak{c})\le t$,
(ii) when $t^2-\Delta u^2=4$, we have $l(\mathfrak{c})\le t-1$.

Proof. Choose $f\in G^+\cap\mathfrak{c}$ and let $\gamma(f)=(q_1,\dots,q_r)$. Then $l(\mathfrak{c})=\sum_{i=1}^r q_i$. Also $\gamma(f)=\gamma(uf)$, and Theorem 6.3 shows that $t=[q_1,\dots,q_l]+[q_2,\dots,q_{l-1}]$. By induction, one may prove the property of continuants $[a_1,\dots,a_t]\ge\sum_{i=1}^t a_i$, so $l(\mathfrak{c})\le t$ with strict inequality unless $[q_2,\dots,q_{r-1}]=0$, that is, unless $r=1$. But r is the weight of $\mathfrak{c}$, and the corollary now follows from Proposition 2.3. □

Corollary 6.14. *Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be a class of indefinite forms and let $d=|G\cap\mathfrak{c}|-|\mu(G\cap\mathfrak{c})|$. Suppose $f\in G^+\cap\mathfrak{c}$ is primitive and $\gamma(f)=(q_1,\dots,q_l)$. Then*

$$d=\begin{cases}\left|\{\,j\mid q_j=1,1\le j\le l\,\}\right|, & \text{if } l \text{ is odd,}\\ \left|\{\,j\mid q_{2j}=1,1\le j\le l/2\,\}\right|, & \text{if } l \text{ is even,}\end{cases}$$

and

$$k_Z(\mathfrak{c})\ge k_G(\mathfrak{c})-d.$$

Proof. Corollary 6.6 shows that preimages of elements under μ have cardinality at most 2 and that d is the number of forms $g\in G^-\cap\mathfrak{c}$ for which $R_G(g)=g(-x+y,-x)$. If g is such a form and $g'=\rho(g)$, then $R_G(g')=g(x+y,-x)$ and Lemma 6.4 shows that $\gamma(g')\in\eta^+(S)$. Then $\gamma(\rho\circ R_G(g'))=T_G(\gamma(g'))$ implies that $\gamma(R_G(g))\in\eta^-(S_1)$. Conversely, if $g'\in G^-\cap\mathfrak{c}$ and $\gamma(R_G(g))\in\eta^-(S_1)$, then $R_G(g)=g(-x+y,-x)$. Thus, d is the number of forms $h\in G^+\cap\mathfrak{c}$ for which $\gamma(h)\in\eta^-(S_1)$. We then obtain the formulas in the corollary using the bijection (6.6). □

6.1. **Resolving old conjectures.** We conclude by proving the conjectures in [11]. That paper introduced the map $\tilde\beta$ from the end of Section 2 and proved that if f is Z-reduced, then the sum of the entries of $\tilde\beta(f)$ is invariant upon reducing f. This new class invariant was called the "sum invariant". The paper conjectured the following:

Conjectures from [11]**.**

(i) If $\mathfrak{c}$ is a class of discriminant a^2+4 with sum invariant n and Z-caliber $k_z(\mathfrak{c})$, then $n=rk_Z(\mathfrak{c})+1$ for some odd integer $r\ge1$.
(ii) If $r>1$, then the class is imprimitive.
(iii) Fix positive integers k and s such that $(s-1)/k$ is an odd integer. The number of classes with discriminant of the form a^2+4 that have caliber k and sum invariant s is equal to the number of primitive binary necklaces of length k and odd weight.
(iv) Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be a primitive class of discriminant $\Delta=a^2-4>0$, and let $\mathfrak{J}_\Delta$ be the class of the form $(a-2,3a-6,2a-5)$ of discriminant Δ. Then

- $\mathfrak{c}$ *and* $\mathfrak{c}\cdot\mathfrak{J}_\Delta$ *have the same sum* $s \leq a$ *(here, the classes are being combined using Gauss composition).*
- $k_Z(\mathfrak{c}) + k_Z\left(J_\Delta \cdot \mathfrak{c}\right) = s - 1$.

Proof. Let us denote the sum invariant, weight, and length of a class $\mathfrak{c}$ by $s(\mathfrak{c})$, $w(\mathfrak{c})$, and $l(\mathfrak{c})$. If f is a Z-reduced form in $\mathfrak{c}$, then since $\beta(f)$ is obtained from $\tilde{\beta}(f)$ by "pinching" both ends, we can compute $s(\mathfrak{c})$ as the sum of the entries of $\beta(f)$. Through the stars and bars construction, we then see that $s(\mathfrak{c}) = l(\mathfrak{c}) + 1$.

(i): Let the length and weight of $\mathfrak{c}$ be l and w. Choose a Z-reduced form f in $\mathfrak{c}$ and denote its content by n. Let $\mathfrak{c}'$ be the class of the primitive form $f' = \frac{1}{n}f$, and let l' and w' be its length and weight. By Theorem 2.6, the necklace $\overline{\sigma}(\mathfrak{c}')$ is primitive. By Theorem 4.2, there is an r such that $\sigma(f)$ is the r-fold self-concatenation of $\sigma(f')$, so $l = rl'$ and $w = rw'$. Since $\mathfrak{c}$ has discriminant $a^2 + 4$, w is odd, hence r is odd.

It is readily checked that scaling by n gives a bijection between $Z \cap \mathfrak{c}'$ and $Z \cap \mathfrak{c}$. Corollary 2.8 then yields $k_Z(\mathfrak{c}) = k_Z(\mathfrak{c}') = l'$, so $s(\mathfrak{c}) = l + 1 = rk_Z(\mathfrak{c}) + 1$.

(ii): If $\mathfrak{c}$ is primitive, then Theorem 2.6 shows that $\sigma(\mathfrak{c})$ is primitive. The proof of (i) then shows that $r = 1$.

(iii): Let C be the set of classes with discriminant of the form $a^2 + 4$, caliber k, and sum invariant s, and let N be the set of primitive binary necklaces of length k and odd weight. Suppose $\mathfrak{c} \in C$. From the proof of (i), if $f \in Z \cap \mathfrak{c}$, then $\sigma(f)$ is the $(s-1)/k$-fold self-concatenation of a unique primitive binary string $\mathfrak{s}$ of odd weight and length k. We therefore have a map from the set of Z-reduced forms contained in classes in C to primitive binary strings of length k. It induces a well-defined map from C to N.

This map is invertible. To define the inverse, we take a string representing a necklace in N, form the $(s-1)/k$-fold self-concatenation of this string to obtain a string $\mathfrak{s}$, and then compute the Z-reduced form $\tau \circ \mathrm{sb}^{-1}(\mathfrak{s})$. This induces a well-defined map from N to C, which is readily checked to be the inverse of the other.

(iv): First observe that $(a-2, 3a-6, 2a-5) = \mu((a-2, a-2, -1))$, so the class $\mathfrak{J}_\Delta$ is that from Theorem 6.11. Choose a form $f \in G^+ \cap \mathfrak{c}$. Theorems 5.1 and 6.7 show that the strings $h \circ \beta \circ \eta^+(f)$ and $\gamma(f)$ represent the same natural necklace. If $\gamma(f) = (q_1, \ldots, q_l)$, then $s(\mathfrak{c}) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{l} q_i$. If $f' \in G^+ \cap \mathfrak{J}_\Delta \cdot \mathfrak{c}$, then Theorem 6.11 shows that $\gamma(f)$ and $\gamma(f')$ represent the same natural necklace. We find arguing as above that $s\left(\mathfrak{J}_\Delta \cdot \mathfrak{c}\right) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{l} q_i = s(\mathfrak{c})$. Corollary 2.8 then shows $s(\mathfrak{c}) - 1 = k_Z(\mathfrak{c}) + k_Z\left(J_\Delta \cdot \mathfrak{c}\right)$. The inequality $s(\mathfrak{c}) \leq a$ follows from Corollary 6.13. □

References

[1] E. Barbeau, *Pell's Equation*, Springer-Verlag, 2003.

[2] J. Conway, *The Sensual Quadratic Form*, MAA, 1997.

[3] A. Denjoy, Complément a la notice publiée en 1934 sur les travaux scientifiques de M. Arnaud Denjoy, Hermann, Paris 1942.

[4] P. G. L. Dirichlet, Vereinfachung der Theorie der binären quadratischen Formen von positiver Determinante, *Abh. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin*, 1854, 99–115.

[5] L. Elsner and R. M. Redheffer, Remarks on band matrices, *Numer. Math.* **10**, 1967, 153–161.
[6] G. Frobenius, Über die Reduktion der indefiniten binären quadratischen Formen, *Sitz.-Ber. d. K. Pr. Akad. d. Wiss. Berlin*, 1913, 202–211.
[7] F. Halter-Koch, *Quadratic Irrationals: an Introduction to Classical Number Theory*, CRC Press, 2013.
[8] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 6th Edition, Oxford University Press, 2008.
[9] M. Iosifescu and C. Kraaikamp, On Denjoy's canonical continued fraction expansion, *Osaka J. Math.*, **40** no.1, 2003, 235–244.
[10] P. Rózsa, On periodic continuants, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **2** no. 2, 1969, 267–274.
[11] B. Smith, Reducing quadratic forms by kneading sequences, *J. Int. Seq.* **17**, 2014, article 14.11.8.
[12] M. Stern, Über die Eigenschaften der periodischen negativen Kettenbrüche, welche die Quadratwurzel aus einer ganzen positiven Zahl darstellen, *Abh. der K. Ges. der Wiss. zu Göttingen* **12**, 1864, 3–48.
[13] A. Uludağ, A. Zeytin, M. Durmuş, Binary quadratic forms as dessins, preprint, 22 pages.
[14] M. Weissman, *Illustrated Theory of Numbers*, American Mathematical Society, 2017.
[15] D. Zagier, *Zetafunktionen und quadratische Körper: eine Einführung in die höhere Zahlentheorie*, Springer-Verlag, 1981.